

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)


1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.			
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Two Envelope under Rule 35 Public Procurement Rules 2004.			
3.	Title of Procurement:	CONSTRUCTION OF GOVERNMENT GIRLS DEGREE COLLEGE BUILDINGS & LIBRARY WITH FURNITURE AT GOVERNMENT BOYS COLLEGE PRETABAD HYDERABAD.			
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/PSDP/01/01			
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS0000001536E			
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	25 th March, 2026 at 1100 Hrs Technical & Financial.			
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	25 th March, 2026 at 1130 Hrs Technical 9 th April, 2026 at 14:00 Hrs Financial			
8.	No of Bids Received:	02 Nos.			
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope..			
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.			
Name of Bidder	MARKS		Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD* /Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)			
M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	72/100 Qualified	Responsive	Rs.461,954,101/-	Rs.461,954,101/-	1st Lowest and most advantageous bid of M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES accepted by the competent authority.
M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	73/100 Qualified	Responsive	Rs.478,323,964/-	Rs.478,323,964/-	2nd Lowest

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Two Envelope under Rule 35 Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3.	Title of Procurement:	REHABILITATION OF MAIN EXISTING NALA FROM SATTAR SHAH PARK TO ODIAN PLAZA VIA NISHAT CHOWK HYDERABAD.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/PSDP/02/02
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS0000001536E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	25 th March, 2026 at 1100 Hrs Technical & Financial.
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	25 th March, 2026 at 1130 Hrs Technical 30 th April, 2026 at 14:00 Hrs Financial
8.	No of Bids Received:	02 Nos.
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope..
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.

Name of Bidder	MARKS		Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD* /Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)			
M/S SHEIKH RAHEEM KHAN & BROTHERS	75/100 Qualified	Responsive	Rs.268,806,436.075/-	Rs.268,806,436/-	1 st Lowest and most advantageous bid of M/S SHEIKH RAHEEM KHAN & BROTHERS accepted by the competent authority.
M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	-	Non Responsive	----	----	<u>Non Responsive</u>

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.			
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Two Envelope under Rule 35 Public Procurement Rules 2004.			
3.	Title of Procurement:	CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDING FOR LIBRARY & OFFICES AT BLOCK-A PHASE-II QASIMABAD, TALUKA QASIMABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.			
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/PSDP/03/03			
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS0000001536E			
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	25 th March, 2026 at 1100 Hrs Technical & Financial.			
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	25 th March, 2026 at 1130 Hrs Technical 9 th April, 2026 at 14:00 Hrs Financial			
8.	No of Bids Received:	02 Nos.			
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope..			
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.			
Name of Bidder	MARKS		Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD* /Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)			
M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	78/100 Qualified	Responsive	Rs.122,316,939/-	Rs.122,316,939/-	1st Lowest and most advantageous bid of M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES accepted by the competent authority.
M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	88/100 Qualified	Responsive	Rs.478,323,964/-	Rs.123.616.285/-	2nd Lowest

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS , SEWERAGE LINES & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-107, 108, 109, 110 & 131 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. Tender Inquiry No. Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/05/05
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
6. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
7. M/S PL CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED
8. M/S PAK SAUDI ENTERPRISES
9. M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.
10. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS
11. M/S SUFYAN ENTERPRISES

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	One Work Order & Completion Certificate having same nature, equal or more cost completed is not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S PAK SAUDI ENTERPRISES	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S SUFYAN ENTERPRISES	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S PK CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Rs.24,712,476	Rs.20,974,433	Rs.20,974,432.98	SH-I 16.51% below SH-II 13.99% below SH-III 12.01% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.24,712,476	Rs.23,531,843	Rs.23,531,843	SH-I 6% above SH-II 20% below SH-III 20% below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.24,712,476	Rs.23,603,696	Rs.23,603,696	SH-I 3% below SH-II 7% below SH-III 6% below 3 rd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS , SEWERAGE LINES & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-112, 120, 121, 122 & 124 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. Tender Inquiry No. Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/06/06
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
6. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
7. M/S PL CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED
8. M/S R.A TRADERS & SERVICE
9. M/S ALI TRADERS & SERVICES
10. M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.
11. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS
12. M/S SUFYAN ENTERPRISES

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	One Work Order & Completion Certificate having same nature, equal or more cost completed is not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S R.A TRADERS & SERVICE	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S ALI TRADERS & SERVICES	Required Bid of work is not uploaded. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S SUFYAN ENTERPRISES	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Rs.23,385,924	Rs.19,670,104.98	Rs.19,670,104.68	SH-I 15.78% below SH-II 16.99% below SH-III 15.01% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S PK CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Rs.23,385,924	Rs.20,352,594.60	Rs.20,352,594.60	SH-I 17.0% below SH-II 30.0% below SH-III 20.0% above 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.23,385,924	Rs.215,35,269	Rs.215,35,269	SH-I 6% above SH-II 30% below SH-III 30% below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.23,385,924	Rs.22,784,835	Rs.22,784,837	SH-I 1% below SH-II 6% below SH-III 4% below 4 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER

Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING KARACHI FAST FOOD,MASJID GULZAR-E-FATIMA AL WASAY TOWN UC-2,AYESHA MASJID WALI GALI UC-3,DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/01/30**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' Ten (10)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Al-Wasay Associates
- 2 M/s Raj Engineering Services
- 3 M/s AR & Sons
- 4 M/s SS Malik Enterprises
- 5 M/s BB Enterprises
6. M/s BMA Enterprises
7. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
8. M/s Saad Uddin Khan
9. M/s Ali Traders & Services
10. M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

2	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s SS Malik Enterprises	Submitted Bid Security of less than required amount. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Saad Uddin Khan	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Raj Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Al-Wasay Associates	Rs. 24,925,792/-	Rs. 21,695,410/-	Rs. 21,695,410/-	12.96% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Ali Traders & Services	Rs. 24,925,792/-	Rs. 22,745,785/-	Rs. 22,745,785/-	08.75% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s AR & Sons	Rs. 24,925,792/-	Rs. 23,430,245/-	Rs. 23,430,245/-	06.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Wasay Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


 Field Official, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 CONVENER
 Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING SURROUNDING JAMA MASJID SONERI UC-6, JAMA MASJID SABRI CHISHTYA UC-5 IN MUHAMMAD KHAN GOTH, UC-5, DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/02/31**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Al-Wasay Associates
- 2 M/s Bin Taj & Company
- 3 M/s Raj Engineering Services
- 4 M/s Polite Pipe Industry
- 5 M/s AR & Sons
- 6 M/s Saad Uddin Khan & Brothers
- 7 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 8 M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
- 9 M/s BMA Enterprises
- 10 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co
- 11 M/s Civil Craft Construction
- 12 M/s BB Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s AR & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Civil Craft Construction	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as Requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Saad Uddin Khan & Brothers	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as Requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s Bin Taj & Company	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/s Raj Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Al Wasay Associates	SH:I 10,573,600/- SH:II 24,082,339/- Total 34,655,939/-	Rs. 29,856,975/-	Rs. 29,856,975/-	SH:I 13.50% Below SH:II 14.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	SH:I 10,573,600/- SH:II 24,082,339/- Total 34,655,939/-	Rs. 32,385,975/-	Rs. 32,385,975/-	SH:I 06.55% Below SH:II 06.55% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Wasay Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Field Official, PIDCL

MEMBER

Procurement Committee - C



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL

MEMBER

Procurement Committee - C



Executive Engineer, PIDCL

CONVENER

Procurement Committee – C