

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING LAYING SEWERAGE LINE /PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN SECTOR A WARD-1, WARD-2, WARD-3, ALTAF TOWN NEAR BHITTATI MASJID, CANTONMENT BOARD, DISTRICT KORANGI-III, NA-234, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/10/39
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Six (06)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Al-Wasay Associates
- 2 M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works
- 3 M/s Chase Construction Company
- 4 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.
- 5 M/s BMA Enterprises
- 6 M/s GM Group

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

4	M/s GM Group	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form, (Failed in Eligibility)
---	--------------	---

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Al-Wasay Associates	SH:I 7,151,936/- SH:II 16,890,913/- Total 24,042,849/-	Rs. 20,533,757/-	Rs. 20,533,757/-	SH:I 16.00% Below SH:II 14.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Chase Construction Company	SH:I 7,151,936/- SH:II 16,890,913/- Total 24,042,849/-	Rs. 21,014,668.4/-	Rs. 21,014,668.4/-	SH:I 14.00% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al-Wasay Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
 Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE AT NOOR UDDIN GOTH, UC-4, TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/06/54**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Thirteen (13)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s H.Arslan & Brothers
2. M/s Barang Construction Co.
3. M/s Zareet Khan A-1 Crasher
4. M/s SM Associate Company
5. M/s Akhtar Shah Mandokhail
6. M/s H & S Construction
7. M/s ATZ Group Construction Co
8. M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers
9. M/s Rehman Construction
10. M/s Azad Kashmir Construction
11. M/s D Babar & Builder
12. M/s Evergreen Construction
13. M/s Kamal Khan Sherani

The technically non responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Azad Kashmir Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Zareef Khan A-1 Crasher	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s A-Z Group Construction	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s D Babar & Builder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Evergreen Construction	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Kama Khan Sherani & Sons	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)


The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s H. Arsalan & Brothers	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 9,375,716.0666	Rs. 9,375,716/-	23.61% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Barang Construction Co.	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 10,038,239/-	Rs. 10,038,239/-	15.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 10,215,385/-	Rs. 10,215,385/-	13.55% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Akhtar Shah Mandokhail	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 10,333,482.25/-	Rs. 10,333,482.25/-	12.50% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s H & S Construction	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 10,392,531/-	Rs. 10,392,531/-	12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 10,640,534.3/-	Rs. 10,640,534.3/-	9.90% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Rs. 11,809,694/-	Rs. 11,101,112/-	Rs. 11,101,112/-	6.00% Below 7 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Wasay Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

 Field Official, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C	 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C
 Executive Engineer, PIDCL CONVENER Procurement Committee - C	

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE SECTOR-10/1 FROM L-447 TO L-865, SECTOR-10/5 FROM N-649 TO N-807, UC-09 TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/07/55
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Sixteen (16)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s H & S Construction
2. M/s MIK Construction Company
3. M/s SM Associate Company
4. M/s Arshman & Brothers
5. M/s S.Zaman & Brothers
6. M/s Zareef Khan A-1 Crasher
7. M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers
8. M/s Rehman Construction
9. M/s Arain Construction
10. M/s MH Khan & Brothers
11. M/s BadarUddin & Brothers
12. M/s D Babar & Bulder
13. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
14. M/s Azad Kashmir Construction
15. M/s Khan Babar & Brothers
16. M/s Abdullah Hizbullah Constrocuton

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s MH Khan & Brothers	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Badruddin & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Arain Construction	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Azad Kashmir Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Khan Babar & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Abdullah Hizbullah Constructions Co.	Not Submitted Requisite Documents on E-pads. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Zareef Khan A-1 Crasher	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s D Babar & Bulder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s H & S Construction	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 17,814,383/-	Rs. 17,814,383/-	14.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s MIK Construction Company	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 17,917,954/-	Rs. 17,917,954/-	13.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 17,980,097/-	Rs. 17,980,097/-	13.20% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Arshman & Brothers	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 18,021,526.26/-	Rs. 18,021,526.26/-	13.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s S.Zaman & Brothers	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 18,207,956/-	Rs. 18,207,956/-	12.10% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 18,645,029.7/-	Rs. 18,645,029.7/-	09.99% Below 6 th Lowest.

7	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Rs. 20,714,398/-	Rs. 19,264,390/-	Rs. 19,264,390/-	09.00% Below 7 th Lowest.
---	-----------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H & S Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


 Field Official, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 CONVENER
 Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE FROM THE OLD UNIT OFFICE TO BILAL MASJID, SECTOR-4A, UC-7 TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No: **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/08/56**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Fifteen (15)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s H. Arslan & Brothers
2. M/s MH Khan & Brothers
3. M/s Kamran Enterprises
4. M/s Usman Brothers
5. M/s MIK Construction Company
6. M/s H & S Construction
7. M/s Zareef Khan A-1 Crasner
8. M/s SM Associate Company
9. M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
10. M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers
11. M/s Akhtar Shah Mandokhail
12. M/s S & S Enterprises
13. M/s D Babar & Builder
14. M/s Dad Mir & Sons
15. M/s Azad Kashmir Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Azad Kashmir Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s S & S Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Zareet Khan A-1 Crasher	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s D Babar & Builder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed In Eligibility)
5	M/s Dad Mir & Sons	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 7,986,604.0743/-	Rs. 7,992,644/-	20.61% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s MFI Khan & Brothers	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,506,090.73/-	Rs. 8,507,097/-	15.50% Below 2 nd lowest.
3	M/s Kamran Enterprise	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,547,368/-	Rs. 8,547,368/-	15.10% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Usmani Brothers	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,607,773.20/-	Rs. 8,607,773.20/-	14.50% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s MIK Construction Company	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,733,618/-	Rs. 8,733,618/-	13.25% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s I I & S Construction	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,748,720/-	Rs. 8,748,720/-	13.10% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s Akhtar Shah Mandokhtail	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,859,462.48/-	Rs. 8,859,462.48/-	12.00% Below 7 th Lowest.
8	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 8,960,138/-	Rs. 8,960,138/-	11.00% Below 8 th Lowest.
9	M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 9,060,813.9/-	Rs. 9,060,813.9/-	10.00% Below 9 th Lowest.

10	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	Rs. 10,067,571/-	Rs. 9,060,814/-	Rs. 9,060,814/-	10.00% Below 10 th Lowest.
----	------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned In the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Officer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
 2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
 3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE FROM SUN RISE GROUND TO BARF KHANA WALA ROAD, SECTOR-L-1, UC-4, TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
 4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/09/57**
 5. PPRA Ref No (TSF): **TS0000000641E**
 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
 8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
 10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .
- The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date**
1. M/s H.Arslan & Brothers
 2. M/s Usman Brothers
 3. M/s MIK Construction Company
 4. M/s Kamran Enterprises
 5. M/s King Musakhai
 6. M/s M I Khan & Brothers
 7. M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers
 8. M/s Mir Enterprises
 9. M/s A. Chishty Enterprises
 10. M/s Azad Kashmir Construction
 11. M/s D Babar & Builder

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s At Chishty Enterprises	Attached PEC Certificate validity expired. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Azad Kashmir & Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s D Babar & Builder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)



The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,215,008.0428/-	Rs. 6,215,008/-	20.61% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s MIK Construction Company	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,712,898/-	Rs. Rs. 6,712,898/-	14.25% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Usman Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,693,328.46/-	Rs. 6,693,328.46/-	14.50% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Kamran Enterprise	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,800,576/-	Rs. 6,800,576/-	13.13% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s King Musakhail Enterprises	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Mir Enterprises	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	12.00% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s MH Khan & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 7,005,681.69/-	Rs. 7,005,681.69/-	10.50% Below 7 th Lowest.
8	M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 7,084,749.1/-	Rs. 7,084,749.1/-	09.50% Below 8 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

 Field Official, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C	 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C
 Executive Engineer, PIDCL CONVENER Procurement Committee - C	

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING NEAR MAMAR ABAD MASJID SECTOR L-1,UC-4,TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** **CONTRACT PACKAGE:**
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/10/58
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** **TS0000000641E**
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Ten (10)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation:** Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .
The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date
 1. M/s Arain Construction
 2. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
 3. M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
 4. M/s Mir Enterprises
 5. M/s Precision Engineering Services
 6. M/s Al-Wasay Associates
 7. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
 8. M/s Pritvi Construction Co.
 9. M/s Rehman Construction
 10. M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Prithvi Construction Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Arain Construction	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 11,527,002/-	Rs. 11,627,802/-	10.99999167% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 11,888,044.58/-	Rs. 11,999,044.58/-	17.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprise	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,395,267/-	Rs. 12,395,267/-	15.98% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,536,483/-	Rs. 12,536,483/-	13.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Mir Enterprises	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,680,580.88/-	Rs. 12,680,580.88/-	12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	A-Wasay Associates	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,682,021/-	Rs. 12,682,021/-	11.91% Below 6 th Lowest.


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Arain Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
- 2 Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 38(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
- 3 Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAYER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF UC-15, MPR COLONY, JAMSHED PUMP, MUJEEB GOTH AND UC-14, SURROUNDING STREETS OF NUSRAT NAGAR, FAROOQ-E-AZAM MOHALLAH, NEW MIANWALI COLONY, MANGHOPIR TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
- 4 Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/03/93
- 5 PPRA Ref.No.(TSE): TS0000000641E
- 6 Date & Time of Bid Closing 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
- 7 Date & Time of Bid Opening 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
- 8 No. of Bids Received Seven (07)
- 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
- 10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S S & S Enterprises
- 2 M/S DGN Associates
- 3 M/S MSM Enterprises
- 4 M/S Shah Enterprises
- 5 M/S Rehman Construction Co
- 6 M/S ATZ Group Construction Co
- 7 M/S BESCON

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Shah Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Rehman Construction Co	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S ATZ Group Construction Co	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S S & S Enterprises	17,649,227/-	15,037,141	15,037,141	SH-I & SH-II= 14.8% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S DGN Associates	17,649,227/-	15,175,679	15,175,679	SH-I= 13.9% Below SH-II= 15.2% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S MSM Enterprises	17,649,227/-	15,323,586	15,323,586	SH-I= 13% Below SH-II= 15% Below 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s S&S Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Godal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
- 2 Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
- 3 Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING G.C TUFF PAVES & SEWERAGE PIPELINE ALONG WITH CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERTS AT VARIOUS STREETS OF MOMINABAD TOWN UC-06, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
- 4 Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/05/95
- 5 PPRA Ref.No.(TSE): TS0000000641E
- 6 Date & Time of Bid Closing 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
- 7 Date & Time of Bid Opening 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
- 8 No. of Bids Received Five (05)
- 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
- 10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 2 M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises
- 3 M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil
- 4 M/S S & S Enterprises
- 5 M/S BESCON

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S S & S Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 pp Rules, 2004
1	M/S Precision Engineering Services	17,650,458/-	15,638,533	14,546,388	SH-I= 12%% Below SH-II= 10%% Below SH-III= 10%% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises	17,650,458/-	16,728,009	16,800,111	SH-I= 9.75% below SH-II= AT PAR SH-III= AT PAR 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil	17,650,458/-	18,446,693	19,446,693	SH-I= 12% Below SH-II= 11% Below SH-III= 40% Above 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Precision Engineering Services

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A



Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
- 2 Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public
Procurement Rules 2004.
- 3 Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE
LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF BL, 7-A, 7-C, 7-D, DATA
NAGAR, 8-A, 8-B, 9-E, 9-D, BANARASS TOWN, OLD UC-12,
NEW UC-08, ORANGI TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
- 4 Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/07/97
- 5 PPRA Ref.No.(TSE): TS0000000641E
- 6 Date & Time of Bid Closing 27th February, 2025 at 1100 Hrs
- 7 Date & Time of Bid Opening 27th February, 2025 at 1130 Hrs
- 8 No. of Bids Received Six (06)
- 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents.
The evaluation criterion is attached as
Annex – A for reference.
- 10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S DGN Associates
- 2 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 3 M/S BESCON
- 4 M/S Din Muhammad Enterp. Builder
- 5 M/S Al Rahman Waheed & Co
- 6 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Din Muhammad Enterp. Builder	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Al Rahman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBO* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S DGN Associates	26,470,149/-	22,784,028	22,784,028	SH-I= 14% Below SH-II= 13% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Precision Engineering Services	26,470,149/-	22,989,627	22,989,627	SH-I= 13.00% Below SH-II= 15.00% Below 2nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S DGN Associates

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF UC-03, OLD UC-27, AND UC- 28, 14-A, 14-B, 13-C, 13-D, 13-E, 13-F, 15-A, 15-C, ORANGI TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/10/100
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2025 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2025 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Eight (08)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

1	M/S Precision Engineering Services
2	M/S Shahensha Enterprises
3	M/S Chase Construction COMPANY
4	M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil
5	M/S BESCON
6	M/S Bin Taj & Company
7	M/S Global Engineering Link
8	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Global Engineering Link	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Precision Engineering Services	22,062,700/-	19,155,172	19,155,172	SH-I= 13% Below SH-II= 15% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Shahensha Enterprises	22,062,700/-	19,295,018	19,295,018	SH-I= 12.50% Below SH-II= 13% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Chase Construction COMPANY	22,062,700/-	19,552,991	19,552,991	SH-I= 05% Below SH-II= 12% Below 3rd Lowest.

4	M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil	22,062,700/-	19,856,430	19,856,430	SH-I & SH-II= 10% Below
5	BESCON	22,062,700/-	19,856,430	19,856,430	SH-I & SH-II= 10% Below
6	Bin Taj & Company	22,062,700/-	20,019,514	20,019,514	SH-I= 11.05% Below SH-II= 09% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Precision Engineering Services

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent (Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAYER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF UC-07, FRONTIER COLONY, LAL BAZ MUHALLAH, ALLAH O AKBAR MUHALLAH, RABBANI MUHALLAH, MOMINABAD TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/04/94
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Eleven (11)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Mushahid Enterprises
- 2 M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers
- 3 M/S Dad Mir & Sons
- 4 M/S BESCON
- 5 M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil
- 6 M/S Aladin
- 7 M/S Osama Enterprises & Services
- 8 M/S DGN Associates
- 9 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother
- 10 M/S ATZ Group Construction Co
- 11 M/S MSM Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Aladin	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Osama Enterprises & Services	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S ATZ Group Construction Co	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBO* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Mushahid Enterprises	13,235,018/-	11,047,744	11,047,744	SH-I= 17.55% Below SH-II= 15.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers	13,235,018/-	11,253,735	11,253,735	SH-I= 14.97% Below SH-II= 14.97% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Dad Mir & Sons	13,235,018/-	11,266,674	11,266,674	SH-I= 15.50% Below SH-II= 13.50% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S BESCON	13,235,018/-	11,441,673	11,441,673	SH-I= 13.55% Below SH-II= 13.55% Below
5	M/S Fida Hussain & Co Civil	13,235,018/-	11,779,166	11,779,166	SH-I= 11.00% Below SH-II= 11.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Mushahid Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 30(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER, WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE PIPE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF 7-A, 1-A, 1-D, MILLATABAD, GULFAMABAD, 6-E, MUJAHIDABAD, ALI GARH, NEW UC-08, (OLD UC-13), TMC ORANGI TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/06/96
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Seven (07)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Sharzay Construction
- 2 M/s BESCON
- 3 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co
- 4 M/S Rehman Construction Co
- 5 M/S BB Enterprises
- 6 M/S Blue Rock Associates
- 7 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Rehman Construction Co	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Blue Rock Associates	Work Order & Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s BESCON	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Shanzay Construction	26,474,119/-	23,626,796	23,626,796	10% Below 1st Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Shanzay Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF QASBA, SECTOR A, A-1, E, F, G, H, K, R-1, 1-C, 1-B, OLD UC-08, NEW UC-09, MOMINABAD TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/08/98
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Seven (07)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/s SHK Builder
- 2 M/s BESCON
- 3 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co
- 4 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother
- 5 M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co
- 6 M/S MSM Enterprises
- 7 M/S Global Engineering Link

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s BESCON	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Global Engineering Link	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S SNK Builder	22,062,755/-	21,400,892	21,400,892	03.00% Below 1st Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s SNK Builder

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Costal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - A


 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - A


 Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
 CONVENER
 Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF 12-LA, 12-C, 12-LD, 9E, 13- A, 3-B, 13-G, 13-F, 11-A, 11-E, MUHAMMAD NAGAR AND FAROOQ COLONY, OLD UC-17, NEW UC-04, ORANGI TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/09/99
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Seven (07)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Global Engineering Link
- 2 M/S DGN Associates
- 3 M/S Sharzay Construction
- 4 M/S BESCON
- 5 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother
- 6 M/S BB Enterprises
- 7 M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brother	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBO* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Global Engineering Link	26,475,709/-	21,789,509	21,789,509	SH-I= 17.70% below SH-II= 17.70% below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S DGN Associates	26,475,709/-	22,554,835	22,554,835	SH-I= 14.75% Below SH-II= 15.75% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Sharzay Construction	26,475,709/-	23,828,138	23,828,138	SH-I & II= 10.00% Below 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Global Engineering Link

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
- 2 Method of Procurement: Single-Stage SingleEnvelope under Rule 36(a) Public
Procurement Rules 2004.
- 3 Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE
LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF NEW UC-16 (OLD UC-11),
SECTOR 8A, 8B, SHAH FAISAL MAHALLAH, NASMABAD,
AL MUSLIMABAD, BALOCH GOTH, DISTRICT WEST,
ORANGI TOWN, SECTOR 13-G, 13-H, 13-J, GABOL
COLONY, BLOCK A, B & C, DATA NAGAR, MANGHOPIR
TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
- 4 Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/01/01
- 5 PPRA Ref.No.(TSE): TS0000000641E
- 6 Date & Time of Bid Closing 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
- 7 Date & Time of Bid Opening 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
- 8 No.of Bids Received Seven (07)
- 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents.
The evaluation criterion is attached as
Annex – A for reference.
- 10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Haider B Construction
- 2 M/S DGN Associates
- 3 M/S Shanzay Construction
- 4 M/S Al Rahman Waheed & Co
- 5 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 6 M/S BESCON
- 7 M/S GM Group

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Rahman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S GM Group	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Haider B Construction	26,472,371/-	21,048,144	21,048,144	SH-I= 14.8% Below SH-II= 16.5% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S DGN Associates	26,472,371/-	22,746,643	22,746,643	SH-I= 14% Below SH-II= 15% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Sharzay Construction	26,472,371/-	23,825,138	23,825,138	SH-I= 10% Below SH-II= 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Haider B Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
- 2 Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
- 3 Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF UC-02, YAQOOB SHAH BASTI, TAPO MANGHOPIR, UMAR GOTH, SABA FAREED CHITTA, HAROONABAD, AL GHAZI VILLAS, MADINA COLONY, QARAM CHASHMA, SULTANABAD, SECTOR I, II & III, PAKHTOONABAD, MANGHOPIR MAZAR, TITARCO SCHOOL, UC-03, (OLD UC-05), MANGHOPIR TOWN, DISTRICT WEST, KARACHI.
- 4 Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/245/02/92
- 5 PPRA Ref.No.(TSE): TS0000000641E
- 6 Date & Time of Bid Closing 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
- 7 Date & Time of Bid Opening 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
- 8 No. of Bids Received Five (05)
- 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
- 10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date
 - 1 M/S BESCON
 - 2 M/S DGN Associates
 - 3 M/S Precision Engineering Services
 - 4 M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co
 - 5 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S BESCON	22,057,427/-	18,638,525	18,638,525	SH-I= 15.5% below SH-II= 15.5% below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S DGN Associates	22,057,427/-	18,681,387	18,681,387	SH-I= 14.50% below SH-II= 15% below 2nd Lowest.


3	M/S Precision Engineering Services	22,057,427/-	18,952,939	18,952,939	SH-I= 13% below SH-II= 15% below 3rd Lowest.
---	------------------------------------	--------------	------------	------------	--

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s BESCON

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Coda Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules. 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING RCG SEWERAGE LINE AND CG TUFF PAYER FLOORING NEAR MASJID MATEEN AND KHAN CAMBRIDGE SCHOOL SECTOR-5 I AND 5 M, UG-4, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA-247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL-I, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINOH/SAP/25-26/247/08/66
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received* Nine (09)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Saigal Enterprises
2	M/s Arshman & Brothers
3	M/s Hammas Builders & Developers
4	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
5	M/s I K Construction
6	M/s MIK Construction Company
7	M/s Afsar khan & Co.
8	M/s SAMZ Enterprises
9	M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Saigal Enterprises	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Hammas Builders & Developers	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
3	M/s I K Construction	One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Afsar Khan & Co.	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)


The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s BMA Enterprises		Rs. 10,159,100/-	Rs. 10,159,100/-	1 st Lowest SH- 22% Below SH-II 14% Below
2	M/s Arshman & Brothers		Rs. 10,337,149/-	Rs. 10,337,149/-	2 nd Lowest 15% Below
3	M/s MJK Construction Company	12,208,411/-	Rs. 10,651,889/-	Rs. 10,651,889/-	3 rd Lowest 12.76% Below
4	M/s SAMZ Enterprises		Rs. 11,438,856/-	Rs. 11,438,856/-	4 th Lowest SH- 5.0% Below SH-II 7.0% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: **M/s BMA Enterprises**

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Officer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** PROVIDING & LAYING WATER SUPPLY LINE & CC TUFF PAVER NEAR IBN E QASIM STADIUM & HOCKEY STADIUM, BLOCK-6 & REHMANIA MASJID, NEAR BAIGUM ANJUM SHAHEED PARK, SECTOR-2, NORTH KARACHI, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA-247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL - I, KARACHI
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/09/67
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Ten (10)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Precision Engineering Services
2	M/s Malik Zohalb & Co.
3	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction
4	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
5	M/s Muhib Construction
6	M/s Jawad & Co.
7	M/s Sheikh Misar Khan & Brothers
8	M/s Usman Brothers
9	M/s BMA Enterprises
10	M/s Dad Mir & sons

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Precision Engineering Services	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s BMA Enterprises	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) + Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Sheikh Misar Khan & Brothers	No Work Order + No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Dad Mir & sons	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
6	M/s Muhib Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBO? Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Jawad & Co	Rs. 16,254,884/-	Rs. 14,259,088/-	Rs. 14,259,088/-	1 st Lowest SH-I 9.9% Below SH-II 15.25% Below
2	M/s Malik Zohair & Co		Rs. 14,629,395/-	Rs. 14,629,395/-	2 nd Lowest SH-I 10% Below SH-II 10% Below
3	M/s Usman Brothers		Rs. 15,117,042/-	Rs. 15,117,042/-	3 rd Lowest SH-I 7.0% Below SH-II 7.0% Below
4	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction		Rs. 17,114,787/-	Rs. 17,114,787/-	4 th Lowest SH-I 12% Above SH-II At PAR

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Jawad & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Officer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works. Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** PROVIDING & LAYING WATER SUPPLY LINE FROM ALLAH WALI MASJID (6000 ROAD) VIA NEW AGE SCHOOL TO IBN E QASIM STADIUM, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA-247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL - I, KARACHI
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINOH/SAP/25-26/247/01/58
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Eleven (11)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Hi tech engineering
2	M/s Shamroz Construction Company
3	M/s Mehran Associate
4	M/s New Mahad Construction Co.
5	M/s Friends Construction Syndicate
6	M/d Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
7	M/s Badruddin & Brothers
8	M/s Malik Zohab & Co.
9	M/s Polite Pipe Industry
10	M/s Omza & Brothers
11	M/s ResCom Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Mehran Associate	One Similar Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ + Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor Failed in Eligibility
2	M/s Friends Construction Syndicate	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) + Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Hi tech engineering	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
4	M/s Omza & Brothers	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
6	M/s ResCom Construction	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	Not Submitted Bid Security + Bid fee – Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	Rs. 39,817,145/-	Rs. 31,097,190/-	Rs. 31,097,190/-	1 st Lowest 21.9% Below
2	M/s New Mahad Construction Co.		Rs. 32,251,887/-	Rs. 32,251,887/-	2 nd Lowest 19% Below
3	M/s Badruddin & Brothers		Rs. 32,888,961/-	Rs. 32,888,961/-	3 rd Lowest 17.40% Below
4	M/s Shamroz Construction Company		Rs. 35,835,430/-	Rs. 35,835,430/-	4 th Lowest 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convenor
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL)
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF RESERVIOR NEAR NEW AGE SCHOOL, SECTOR 5-G, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA-247, DISTRICT CENTRAL -I, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/02/60
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000003641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (7)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company
2	M/s S.M Associate Company
3	M/s Mehran Associate
4	M/s Malik Zohair & Co
5	M/s Mahavir Traders, Contractors
6	M/d Harish & Co.
7	M/s RecCom Construction

The technically non responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Mehran Associate	No Work Order – Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) + Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Malik Zohab & Co.	Only One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/c Harish & Co.	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s RecCom Construction	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Mahavir Traders. Contractors		Rs. 35,616,158/-	Rs. 35,616,158/-	1 st Lowest SH-I 9.10% Below SH-II 9.10% Below SH-III 16.10% Below
2	M/s S.M Associate Company	Rs. 39,528,037	Rs. 37,624,258/-	Rs. 37,624,258/-	2 nd Lowest SH-I 8% Below SH-II At par SH-III 6% Below
3	M/s Rehman Construction Company		Rs. 41,504,438/-	Rs. 41,504,438/-	3 rd Lowest SH-I 5% Above SH-II 5% Above SH-III 5% Above

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Mahavir Traders. Contractors

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent/ Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** **PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN GOVERNMENT GIRLS PRIMARY SCHOOL SECTOR 11-D NEAR MADINA MASJID TOWN NEW KARACHI, NA-247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL - I, KARACHI.**
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/03/B1**
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** **TS0000000641E**
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. **No. of Bids Received'** Twenty (20)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
3	M/s Deep Ocean Associate
4	M/s Usam Construction
5	M/s Al Wasay Associate
6	M/d Fida Husain And Co
7	M/s MIR enterprises
8	M/s Shah Enterprises
9	M/s SAMZ Enterprises
10	M/s ATZ Group

11	M/s Saigal Enterprises
12	M/s Mehfoz Nabi shaikh & Co
13	M/s S Raza & Company
14	M/s MSM Enterprises
15	M/s BMA Enterprises
16	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction
17	M/s S.M & Co
18	M/s Shafiq construction
19	M/s Waris And Co
20	M/s Sindh Construction Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s ATZ Group	No Similar Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) Failed in Eligibility
2	M/s Saigal Enterprises	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Mehfoz Nabi shaikh & Co.	No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ No E Stamp Provided On EPAD (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s S Raza & Company	Bid Fee Not Submitted In CDR Form (Failed In Eligibility)
5	M/s MSM Enterprises	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s BMA Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
7	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
8	M/s S.M & Co.	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
9	M/s Waris & Co	Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed In Eligibility
11	M/s Sindh Construction Company	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed In Eligibility)

12	M/s Shafiq construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed In Eligibility
13	M/s Usam Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	10,789,004/-	Rs. 8,933,295/-	Rs. 8,933,295/-	1 st Lowest 17.20% Below
2	M/s Deep Ocean Associates		Rs. 9,374,565/-	Rs. 9,374,565/-	2 nd Lowest 13.11% Below
3	M/s Al Wasay Associate		Rs. 9,494,324/-	Rs. 9,494,324/-	3 rd Lowest 12.0% Below
4	M/s Fida Husain And Co		Rs. 9,499,324/-	Rs. 9,499,324/-	4 th Lowest 12.0% Below
5	M/s MIR enterprises		Rs. 9,548,268/-	Rs. 9,548,268/-	5 th Lowest 11.5% Below
6	M/s Shah Enterprises		Rs. 9,704,709/-	Rs. 9,704,709/-	6 th Lowest 10.05% Below
7	M/s SAMZ Enterprises		Rs. 9,817,994/-	Rs. 9,817,994/-	7 th Lowest 9.0% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS


12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C



Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C



Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN IQBAL COMPLEX NEAR POWER HOUSE ROUNDABOUT, TOWN NEW KARACHI, NA - 247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL - I, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/04/62
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Fifteen (15)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamps have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2	M/s Deep Ocean Associate
3	M/s Usam Construction
4	M/s Al Wasay Associate
5	M/d Fida Husain And Co
6	M/s MIR enterprises
7	M/s SAMZ Enterprises
8	M/s Saigal Enterprises
9	M/s Mehfoz Nabi shakh & Co
10	M/s S Raza & Company
11	M/s BMA Enterprises

12	M/s S.M & Co
13	M/s Shafiq construction
14	M/s Waris And Co
15	M/s ZA Khan & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Saigal Enterprises	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Mehfoz Nabi shalkh & Co.	No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)(Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s S Raza & Company	Bid Security Not Submitted (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BMA Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
5	M/s S.M & Co.	Company Registration Not valid – Failed in Eligibility
6	M/s Waris & Co	Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
8	M/s Shafiq construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
9	Z A Khan & Brothers	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Al Wasay Associate	10,810,490/-	Rs. 9,459,179/-	Rs. 9,459,179/-	1 st Lowest 12.50% Below
2	M/s Usam Construction		Rs. 9,502,420/-	Rs. 9,502,420/-	2 nd Lowest 12.10% Below
3	M/s Deep Ocean Associate		Rs. 9,561,878/-	Rs. 9,561,878/-	3 rd Lowest 11.55% Below
4	M/s SAMZ Enterprises		Rs. 9,567,284/-	Rs. 9,567,284/-	4 th Lowest 11.50% Below
5	M/s MIR enterprises		Rs. 9,664,578/-	Rs. 9,664,578/-	5 th Lowest 10.6% Below
6	M/d Fida Husain And Co		Rs. 9,729,441/-	Rs. 9,729,441/-	6 th Lowest 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Wasay Associate

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN, GODHRA COLONY, NEAR REHMANIA MASJID & SECTOR 11 G, TOWN NEW KARACHI, NA - 247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL - I, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/05/63
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamps have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2	M/s Usam Construction
3	M/s Usman brothers
4	M/d Fida Husain And Co
5	M/s Hammas builders
6	M/s SAMZ Enterprises
7	M/s Saigal Enterprises
8	M/s Kamal Khan Sherani & Sons
9	M/s S Raza & Company
10	M/s BMA Enterprises
11	M/s Shafiq construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Hammas Builders	Not Submitted Bid security -(Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s BMA Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
3	M/s Fida Hussain & Co.	Not Submitted Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
5	M/s Shafiq construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
6	M/s Usam Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s S Raza & Company	9,197,188/-	Rs. 7,771,623/-	Rs. 7,771,623/-	1 st Lowest 15.50% Below
2	M/s Kamal Khan Sherani & Sons		Rs. 8,001,553/-	Rs. 8,001,553/-	2 nd Lowest 13% Below
3	M/s SAMZ Enterprises		Rs. 8,185,497/-	Rs. 8,185,497/-	3 rd Lowest 11% Below
4	M/s Usman brothers		Rs. 8,461,412/-	Rs. 8,461,412/-	4 th Lowest 8% Below
5	M/s Saigal Enterprises		Rs. 8,921,272/-	Rs. 8,921,272/-	5 th Lowest 3% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s S Raza & Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).**
Ministry of Housing & Works Government of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a)
Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING & LAYING G.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING FROM FAIZ-E-MADINA MASJID TO 3100 ROAD VIA RAZA CROUND, SECTOR 5-B/3, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA - 247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL -4, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/06/64**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000647E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: **27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM**
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: **27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM**
8. No. of Bids Received **Fifteen (15)**
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. **Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.**
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation **Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.**

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
2	M/s Shams Zain Mec Rajpoot Construction company
3	M/s Arshamir & Brothers
4	M/s Muhib Construction
5	M/s Fani Traders
6	M/s Noor Muhammad & brothers
7	M/s Khan Babar & Brothers
8	M/s Shamarooz Construction Company
9	M/s Badruddin & Brothers
10	M/s Malik Zohair & Co
11	M/s S Zamar & Brothers

12	M/s N.k & Company
13	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
14	M/s Al Meo Builders
15	M/s BMA Enterprises

The technical y non responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Noor Muhammad & brothers	Tow Bids Amount Submitted /Uploaded (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s BMA Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
3	M/s Khan Babar & Brothers	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Badrudain & Brothers	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
5	M/s S Zaman & Brothers	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Malik Zohail & Co	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
7	M/s N.k & Company	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
9	M/s Al Meo Builders	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor (Failed in Eligibility)


The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	43,901,161/-	Rs. 35,125,318/-	Rs. 35,125,318/-	1st Lowest 19.99% Below
2	M/s Shams Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company		Rs. 36,657,469/-	Rs. 36,657,469/-	2nd Lowest 16.50% Below
3	M/s Arshaman & Brothers		Rs. 37,315,986/-	Rs. 37,315,986/-	3rd Lowest 15% Below
4	M/s Muhib Construction		Rs. 38,852,527 /-	Rs. 38,852,527 /-	4th Lowest 11.5% Below
5	M/s Hani Traders		Rs. 39,080,813/-	Rs. 39,080,813/-	5th Lowest 10.98% Below
6	M/s Shmarooz Construction Company		Rs. 39,511,044/-	Rs. 39,511,044/-	6th Lowest 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: **M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises**

11 **The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS**

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member -
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convenor
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 30(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING RCC SEWERAGE LINE AND CC TUFF PAVES FLOORING NEAR GED MOBILE MARKET AND AIK NUMBER CRICKET GROUND SECTOR-11 K & SECTOR-11 J, DC-11, NEW KARACHI TOWN, NA-247, DISTRICT KARACHI CENTRAL-I, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/247/07/65
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE). TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Fourteen (14)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s King Musa Khail Enterprises
2	M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
3	M/s Bin Taj & Company
4	M/s Muhib Construction
5	M/s M.H Khan & Brothers
6	M/s Noor Muhammad & brothers
7	M/s Afsar Khan & Co.
8	M/s Shmarcz Construction Company

9	M/s H & S Construction
10	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
11	M/s S Zaman & Brothers
12	M/s Chase Construction
13	M/s Al Meo Builders
14	M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s BMA Enterprises	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
4	M/s Bir Tai & Company	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
5	M/s M.H Khan & Brothers	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)(Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Al Meo Builders	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2)+ Not Submitted Bid Security – (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Afsar Khan & Co	One Work Order + One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s H & S Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility
9	M/s Muhib Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security – Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SDO/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Chase Construction	Rs. 38,099,265/-	Rs.33,328,735/-	Rs.33,328,735/-	1st Lowest SH-I 12% Below SH-II 13% Below
2	M/s S Zaman & Brothers		Rs.33,489,254/-	Rs.33,489,254/-	2nd Lowest SH-I 12.10% Below SH-II 12.10% Below
3	M/s Shmaroz Construction Company		Rs.34,289,338/-	Rs.34,289,338/-	3rd Lowest SH-I 10% Below SH-II 0% Below
4	M/s Noor Muhammad & brothers		Rs.34,289,338/-	Rs.34,289,338/-	4th Lowest 10% Below
5	M/s King Musa Khali Enterprises		RS. 36,194,301/-	RS. 36,194,301/-	5th Lowest SH-I 6% Below SH-II 5% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Chase Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent/ Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT VARIOUS STREETS OF BLOCK-01, UC-02, SHARIFABAD, PS-126, NA- 248, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/01/H01
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Twelve (12)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Badrudin and Brothers
- 2 M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers
- 3 M/S Muhib Construction Company
- 4 M/S Hari Traders
- 5 M/S Waris & Co
- 6 M/S SM Associate Company
- 7 M/S Mas Holdings
- 8 M/S Al Meo Builders
- 9 M/S BESCON
- 10 M/S DGN Associates
- 11 M/S Jawad & Co
- 12 M/S MSM Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Meo Builders	No Work Order & Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Jawad & Co	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Badrudin and Brothers	16,737,716/-	12,084,552	12,084,552	16% Below 16% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers	16,737,716/-	14,230,405	14,230,405	14.98% Below 14.98% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Muhib Construction Company	16,737,716/-	14,894,069	14,894,069	10.05% Below 20.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S Hari Traders	16,737,716/-	14,933,390	14,933,390	10.87% Below 10.87% Below
5	M/S Waris & Co	16,737,716/-	14,945,216	14,945,216	0.75% Below 10% Below
6	M/S SM Associate Company	16,737,716/-	14,996,373	14,996,373	11% Below At Par
7	M/S Mas Holdings	16,737,716/-	15,946,196	15,946,196	6% Below At Par

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Badrudin and Brothers

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Cordal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. **Title of Procurement:** CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS, SEWERAGE LINES & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-89 90, 101, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105 & 106 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/01/01
5. **PPRA Ref.No (TSC):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Fourteen (14)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS
3. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
4. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
5. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
6. M/S ASAD CONSTRUCTIONS & ENGINEERING
7. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
8. M/S ALI TRADERS & SERVICES
9. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
10. M/S MUHAMMAD ISMAIL GOVT. CONTRACTOR & BUILDERS
11. M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
12. M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.
13. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS
14. M/S CONSTRUCTOR

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S ASAD CONSTRUCTIONS & ENGINEERING	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S ALI TRADERS & SERVICES	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S A K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S MUHAMMAD ISMAIL GOVT. CONTRACTOR & BUILDERS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Required Bid of work is not uploaded. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/S CONSTRUCTOR	(Upload other contractor's Bid (A.KHAN SHERNI ENGINEERING FIRM), upload Email address of M/S AHSAN K CHANNA, Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Rs.40,156,401	Rs.35,036,459.87	Rs.35,036,459.87	SH-I 12.75% below SH-II 12.75% below SH-III 12.75% below SH-IV 12.75% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.40,156,401	Rs.35,681,617	Rs.35,681,618	SH-I [(Item No.1(10% below), Item No.3 (13% below), Item No. 4 (5% below), Item No.6,9 &10 (20% below), Item No.7(16% below), Item No.8(15% below)] SH-II 40% below SH-III 30% below SH-IV 40% below 2 nd Lowest

3	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	Rs. 40,156,401	Rs.37,855,335	Rs.37,855,336	SH-I at Par SH-II 35% below SH-III 20% below SH-IV 15% below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs. 40,156,401	Rs.39,907,371	Rs.39,907,371	SH-I 6% above SH-II 20% below SH-III 20% below SH-IV 20% below 4 th Lowest


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Anas Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12.However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under **Rule 36(a) Public Procurement**
3. **Title of Procurement:** CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS , DRAINS & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 08 & 100 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/02/02
5. **PPRA Ref.No (TSE):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received'** Nine (09)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS
3. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
4. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
5. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
6. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
7. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
8. M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.
9. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Rs.35,743,456	Rs. 31,168,293.63	Rs. 31,168,293.64	SH-I 12.80% below SH-II 12.80% below SH-III 12.80% below SH-IV 12.80% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.35,743,456	Rs. 32,345,133	Rs. 32,345,133	SH-I [Item No.1, 3 & 10 (20% below), Item No.4 (5% below), Item No. 6 (11% below), Item No.7 (13% below), Item No.8(16% below), Item No.9(16% below)] SH-II 40% below SH-III 35% below SH-IV 41% below 2 nd Lowest.

3	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	Rs.35,743,456	Rs. 34,466,108	Rs. 34,465,110	SH-I at Par SH-II 26% below SH-III 18% below SH-IV 13% below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.35,743,456	Rs. 35,513,798	Rs. 35,513,797	SH-I 4% above SH-II 20% below SH-III 20% below SH-IV 20% below 4 th Lowest


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Anas Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. **Title of Procurement:** CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS , SEWERAGE LINES & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-109, U/C-111, U/C-113, U/C-114, U/C-115, U/C-116, U/C-117, U/C-118, U/C-97 KOHASAR, U/C-98 MEHAR ALI, VILLAGE DHANI MIAN & WARD-8,9,10 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/04/04
5. **PPRA Ref.No (TSE):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Ten (10)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
6. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
7. M/S PL CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED
8. M/S MUHAMMAD ISMAIL GOVI. CONTRACTOR & BUILDERS
9. M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.
10. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1 M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed In Eligibility)
2 M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
3 M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed In Eligibility)
4 M/S PL CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
5 M/S MUHAMMAD ISMAIL GOVI. CONTRACTOR & BUILDERS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed In Eligibility)
6 M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
7 M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.38,922,317	Rs.33,913,476	Rs.33,913,478	SH-I [Item No.1, 6 & 10 (20% below), Item No.2 & 5 (at par), Item No.3 (16% below), Item No.4 (5% below), Item No.7 (14% below), Item No.8 (22% below), Item No.9 (16% below)] SH-II 40% below SH-III 38% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Rs.38,922,317	Rs.34,057,027	Rs.34,057,027.38	SH-I 12.5% below SH-II 12.5% below SH-III 12.5% below 2 nd Lowest

3	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.38,922,317	Rs.37,402,182	Rs.37,402,181	SH-I 6% above SH-II 30% below SH-III 30% below 3 rd Lowest
---	---------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S BMA ENTERPRISES

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12.However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL


MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL

MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL

CONVENER

Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF TUFF PAVER ROADS, SEWERAGE LINES & WATER SUPPLY LINES AT U/C-119, 120, 123, 130, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138 & 139 TALUKA LATIFABAD, DISTRICT HYDERABAD.
4. Tender Inquiry No. Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/219/03/03
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
6. M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
7. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
8. M/S PL CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED
9. M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
10. M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.
11. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS

/the technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	One Work Order & Completion Certificate having same nature, equal or more cost completed is not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S PK CHAWLA ENTERPRISES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	(Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	Rs. 43,599,673	Rs. 41,917,681	Rs. 41,917,681	SH-I at Par SH-II 14% below SH-III 22% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs. 43,599,673	Rs. 43,613,075	Rs. 43,513,075	SH-I 5% above SH-II 20% below SH-III 15% below 2 nd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS

above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the bids submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: INSTALLATION & FIXING OF R.O FILTER PLANTS 1000 GPD WITH SOLAR SYSTEM IN VARIOUS TOWNS OF TALUKA CITY HYDERABAD.
4. Tender Inquiry No. Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/220/03/01
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
2. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
3. M/S AL RAZIQ ENTERPRISES & CO

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S AL RAZIQ ENTERPRISES & CO	Rs.30,103,824	Rs.29,200,709	Rs.29,200,709	SH-I 3% below SH-II 3% below SH-III 3% below 1 st Lowest

2	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO	Rs.30,103,824	Rs.30,103,824	Rs.30,103,824	SH-I at Par SH-II at Par SH-III at Par 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.30,103,824	Rs.30,122,480	Rs.30,122,482	SH-I 0.10% above SH-II at Par SH-III 0.10% above 3 rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S AL RAZIQ ENTERPRISES & CO

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. **Title of Procurement:** SOLARIZATION OF SCHOOLS , COLLEGES & HOSPITALS IN VARIOUS TOWNS OF TALUKA CITY HYDERABAD.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/220/04/10
5. **PPRA Ref.No (TSE):** TS00000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Three (03)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
2. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
3. M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)

Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.30,900,000	Rs.30,869,099	Rs.30,869,099	SH-I 0.10% below SH-II 0.10% below SH-III 0.10% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO	Rs.30,900,000	Rs.30,900,000	Rs.30,900,000	SH-I at Par SH-II at Par SH-III at Par 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S BMA ENTERPRISES

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency: | Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan. |
| 2. | Method of Procurement: | Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement |
| 3. | Title of Procurement: | CONSTRUCTION/UPGRADATION OF TUFF PAVEMENT CLOTH MARKET ROAD, (FROM FAQEER KA PIRH TO NISHAT CHOWK), CITY HYDERABAD. |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No. | Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/220/01/07 |
| 5. | PPRA Ref.No (TSE): | TS00000000641E |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid Closing: | 26 th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid Opening: | 26 th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs |
| 8. | No. of Bids Received | Eleven (11) |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation. | Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference. |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: | Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. |

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S ROCK WELL ENGINEERING (PRIVATE) LIMITED
6. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
7. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
8. M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO
9. M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
10. M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.
11. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S ROCK WELL ENGINEERING (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	One Work Order & Completion Certificate having same nature, equal or more cost completed is not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBO* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.41,824,630	Rs.39,846,322	Rs.39,846,312	SH-I 5% below SH-II 5% below SH-III 20% below SH-IV at Par SH-V at Par 1 st Lowest
2	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Rs.41,824,630	Rs.40,423,504.90	Rs.40,423,504.90	SH-I 3.35% below SH-II 3.35% below SH-III 3.35% below SH-IV 3.35% below SH-V 3.35% below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency: | Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan. |
| 2. | Method of Procurement: | Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement |
| 3. | Title of Procurement: | CONSTRUCTION OF CC TUFF PAVER ROAD FROM HABIB HOTEL, TOWARDS RIKHSHAW MARKET AND WITH ALLIED ROADS, CITY HYDERABAD. |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No. | Contract Package PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/220/02/08 |
| 5. | PPRA Ref.No (TSE): | TS00000000641E |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid Closing: | 26 th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid Opening: | 26 th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs |
| 8. | No. of Bids Received | Twelve (12) |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation. | Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference. |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: | Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. |

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES
2. M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
3. M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES
4. M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH
5. M/S ROCK WELL ENGINEERING (PRIVATE) LIMITED
6. M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.
7. M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM
8. M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO
9. M/S ALI TRADERS & SERVICES
10. M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.
11. M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS
12. M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S NEW RIAZ AHMED SHAIKH	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S ROCK WELL ENGINEERING (PRIVATE) LIMITED	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S AL REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S A.K SHERANI ENGINEERING FIRM	One Work Order & Completion Certificate having same nature, equal or more cost completed is not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S ZULFIQAR ALI BURIRO	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S CIVIL CRAFT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S WAQAR ENTERPRISES ENGINEER & CONTRACTORS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	Bid Security is not provided in hard form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.43,494,158	Rs.38,914,010	Rs.38,914,010	SH-I [Item No.1,3,6 & 8 (20% below), Item No.2 (at par), Item No.4 (27% below), Item No.5 (5% below), Item No. 7 (26% below), Item No.9 (1% below)] SH-II 43% below SH-III 45% below SH-IV 5% below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S ANAS ENTERPRISES	Rs.43,494,158	Rs.39,036,006.81	Rs.39,036,006.80	SH-I 10.25% below SH-II 10.25% below SH-III 10.25% below SH-IV 10.25% below 2 nd Lowest.

3	M/S AR & SONS ENTERPRISES	Rs.43,494,158	Rs.39,149,091	Rs.39,149,091	SH-I 9.99% below SH-II 9.99% below SH-III 9.99% below SH-IV 9.99 below 3 RD Lowest
---	---------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S BMA ENTERPRISES

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER IN DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-04, UC-09 & 10, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/07/19**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS00000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 28th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Ten (10)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction Co
- 2 M/s Precision Engineering Services
- 3 M/s Global Engineering Links
- 4 M/s T S Engineering Services
- 5 M/s Jawad & Co
- 6 M/s SNK Builders
- 7 M/s ZeeKAY International
- 8 M/s OMZA & Brothers
- 9 M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
- 10 M/s Abdul Rafay Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Jawad & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction Co	SH:I 23,343,748/- SH:II 1,388,112/- Total 24,731,858/-	Rs. 19,787,959/-	Rs. 19,787,959/-	SH:I 19.99% Below SH:II 19.99% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	SH:I 23,343,746/- SH:II 1,388,112/- Total 24,731,858/-	Rs. 20,179,827 /-	Rs.20,179,827 /-	SH:I 19.50% Below At Par 2 nd Lowest
3	M/s T S Engineering Services	SH:I 23,343,746/- SH:II 1,388,112/- Total 24,731,858/-	Rs. 20,858,047/-	Rs. 20,858,047/-	SH:I 16.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s SNK Builders	SH:I 23,343,746/- SH:II 1,388,112/- Total 24,731,858/-	Rs. 22,011,354/-	Rs. 22,011,354/-	SH:I 11.00% Below SH:II 11.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
5	M/s ZeeKAY International	SH:I 23,343,748/- SH:II 1,388,112/- Total 24,731,858/-	Rs. 22,258,672/-	Rs. 22,258,672/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 6 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

false information/ certificates produce by the company/firm shall be liable to be black listed at any stage on separate E-Stamps paper.

- h) Undertaking on fresh stamp paper (E stamp paper) that firm is not involved in any kind of litigation with any departmental rift, abandoned or necessary delay incompletion of any work on separate E-Stamps paper
- i) Fresh affidavit (E stamp paper) to this effect that the firm/contractor have not been black listed previously by any executing agency on separate E-Stamps paper.

Note: The affidavits and undertaking required under clauses (f), (g), and (h) may be furnished on a single e-Stamp paper of 500 (Five Hundred Rupees), having all declarations clearly stated and duly notarized

- j) The tender should be submitted along with the above required documentation/information. If any such required documents/information lacking, then the tender will not be considered by the PIDCL and no excuse will be entertained.
- k) At least two similar work Orders of similar Nature completed during the last five (05) years. Each having the cost equal to more than the Estimated Cost of the Project. It is mandatory for the Contractor to provide Work Orders along with Completion Certificate.

7.1 The text of Sub-Clause 7.1 is deleted and substituted with the following:

The Bidding Documents are those stated below and should be read in conjunction with any Addenda issued in accordance with Clause IB.9:

1. Instructions to Bidders.
2. Bidding Data Sheet.
3. General Conditions of Contract, Part-I (GCC).
4. Particular Conditions of Contract, Part-II (PCC).
5. Specifications - Special Provisions.
6. Specifications - Technical Provisions.
7. Forms of Bid (Technical Bid and Price Bid) and Appendices to Bid.
8. Bill of Quantities (Appendix-D to Bid).
9. Form of Contract Agreement.
10. Bid Security
11. Form of Performance Security Bank Guarantee (Unconditional)
12. Drawings

8.1 Time limit for clarification:

Delete the text of Sub-Clause 8.1 in its entirety and substitute with the following:

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a rectangular stamp. The stamp contains some text, but it is mostly illegible due to the signature and the quality of the scan. The signature appears to be 'V. K.' or similar.

Any prospective bidder requiring any clarification(s) through E-PADs only in respect of the Bidding Documents.

No request for clarification(s) will be entertained if received by the Employer later than seven (07) days prior to the date fixed for submission of bids. The Employer's response to any request for clarification will be made not later than three (03) days prior to the date fixed for submission of bids.

11.1 Bid language is English.

11.3 Bid shall be quoted entirely in Pak. Rupees. The payment shall be made in Pak. Rupees.

12.1 (B) The Bidder shall submit with its Price Bid the following documents:

(a) Letter of Price Bid

(b) Bill of Quantities

(Appendix -D)

(c) Estimated Progress Payments

(Appendix -J)

13.1 Bid Prices

The bidders shall fill in Premium above/below on % Basis for all items of the Works described in the Bill of Quantities. Items against which no rate or price is entered by a bidder will not be paid for by the Employer when executed and shall be deemed covered by rates and prices for other items in the Bill of Quantities in conjunction of below;

(a) A detailed description of the Works, essential technical and performance characteristics.

i. CC TUFF PAVER

ii. SEWERAGE LINE

(b) Specification of CSR Sindh 2024

(c) For details refer BOQ (Schedule of Prices)

14.1 Currency of Bid and Payment

Bid shall be quoted entirely in Pak. Rupees. The payment shall be made in Pak. Rupees.

15.1 Period of Bid Validity

90 days.

15.4 Number of Copies of the Bid to be submitted.

On E-PADs only



15.6 (a) Employer's Address for the Purpose of Bid Submission

Original Tender Fee (Pay Order/CDR), Bid Security (Pay Order/CDR/Bank Guarantee) and Affidavits on E-Stamp are to be delivered physically at the office of office of the General Manager (Engineering), Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Limited (PIDCL), Bahria Complex IV, 6th Floor, Ext. Block Gizri, Karachi, / PIDCL North Office NC House, 26 Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad before bid submission time.

16.1 Amount of Bid Security

Rupees 1,120,848/- in shape of Pay Order / CDR / Bank Guarantees, in case of bogus/fake Pay Order / CDR procuring agency shall liable to blacklist the firm/contractor

Bid security in shape of Pay Order / CDR / Bank Guarantees (mentioning name of the Contractor's Firm and in favor of Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Limited). In case of bogus/fake Pay Order / CDR / Bank Guarantee procuring agency shall be liable to blacklist the firm/contractor.

Original Pay Order / CDR / Bank Guarantee for bid security and tender fee in shape of pay order shall be delivered to employer's address before the deadline submission of bid. Late delivered bid security and tender fee will lead to mark bid as non-responsive. Scanned copy of bid security and tender fee shall be attached with bid to be uploaded on EPADS.

Bidders are required to submit their bids electronically via the E-Pak Acquisition & Disposal System (E-PADS) using the upload function, no alternative submission methods will be accepted. The bid submission must be accompanied by a scanned copy of the tender fee, amounting to Rs. 5,000/- and scanned copy of Bid Security. Furthermore, the original sealed Tender Fee (Pay Order/CDR), Bid Security Pay Order / CDR / Bank Guarantee and E-Stamp Paper are to be delivered in separate envelopes to the office of the General Manager (Engineering), Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Limited (PIDCL), Bahria Complex IV, 6th Floor, Ext. Block Gizri, Karachi,, before bid submission time.

The bids submitted via E-PADS will be opened in the office of the office of the General Manager (Engineering), Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Limited (PIDCL), Bahria Complex IV, 6th Floor, Ext. Block Gizri.

EVALUATION REPORT

(as per Section 35 of PP Rules 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCC), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope Under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3	Title of Procurement:	CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD NEAR MODEL PARK, UC-10, LAHORI TOWN DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCC/8INDH/SAP/26-28/233/08/20
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSF):	TS0000000841E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	28th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs.
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	28th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs.
8	No. of Bids Received	Sixteen(16)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-SAPMS

The bidders who uploaded bids on E-sap on the closing date

- 1 M/S King Musakhlat Enterprises
- 2 M/S TS Engineering Services
- 3 M/S Ghase Construction Company
- 4 M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers
- 5 M/S Ever Green Construction
- 6 M/S H & S Construction
- 7 M/S Gul Rahman & Co
- 8 M/S Rajput Builders
- 9 M/S Zee VV International
- 10 M/S A72 Group Construction Co
- 11 M/S SM Associates Company
- 12 M/S Shan Enterprises
- 13 M/S MK Construction Company
- 14 M/S S A S Enterprises
- 15 M/S NTK Builders
- 16 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

	Name of Bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S S & S Enterprises	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
2	M/S NTK Builders	• Not provided the Experience & Completion Certificates of the Requisite scheme. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	• Not provided the Experience & Completion Certificates of the Requisite scheme. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified Bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria/requirements

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	% Policy Basis (or) Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 36 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S King Musakhlat Enterprises	12,511,898 757,276 13,269,175	10,883,722	10,883,722	18.00% Below 1st Lowest
2	M/S TS Engineering Services	12,511,898 757,276 13,269,175	11,191,544	11,191,544	16.00% Below 2nd Lowest

3	M/S Chisee Construction Company	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,240,955	11,240,955	15.00% Below 22.00% Below 3rd Lowest
4	M/S Kamal Kher & Brothers	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,231,453	11,231,453	14.98% Below 14.98% Below
5	M/S Ever Green Construction	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,411,480	11,411,490	14.00% Below 14.00% Below
6	M/S H & S Construction	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,425,563	11,426,663	14.00% Below 12.00% Below
7	M/S MIK Construction Company	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,637,066	11,637,066	12.30% Below 12.30% Below
8	M/S Gul Rahman & Co	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,676,874	11,676,874	12.00% Below 12.00% Below
9	M/S Rajul Traders	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,895,864	11,895,864	10.37% Below 10.40% Below
10	M/S Zeekay International	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,942,258	11,942,258	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
11	M/S ATZ Group Construction Co	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,942,259	11,942,259	9.99% Below 10.00% Below
12	M/S S&M Associates Company	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,942,390	11,942,390	9.99% Below 9.99% Below
13	M/S Shah Enterprises	12,511,899 757,275 13,269,175	11,944,910	11,944,910	9.99% Below 9.99% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s King Musachail Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PAGE.

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the legal formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENOR
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 25 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD NEAR IMAM BARGAH SADQUEN & OPPOSITE ROSE BANQUET & PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAYER IN DIFFERENT STREET OF UC-08 & UC-09, KORANGI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/09/21
5	PPRA Ref No. (TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	26th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	26th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Eight(8)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on E-pads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Shams & Zain Meo Construction Company
- 2 M/S Chase Construction Company
- 3 M/S Sitara Construction Company
- 4 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 5 M/S Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
- 6 M/S Zulfiqar Ali Burro
- 7 M/S BB Enterprises
- 8 M/S IW Industrial Engineers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S OMZA & Brothers	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
2	M/S Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
3	M/S Zulfiqar Ali Burro	• E-Stamp Not submitted in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S BB Enterprises	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
5	M/S IW Industrial Engineers	• E-Stamp Not submitted in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria/requirements

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Shams & Zain Meo Construction Company	26,513,887 9,752,204 2,051,568 38,317,659	31,612,893	31,612,893	17.50% Below 17.50% Below 17.50% Below 1st Lowest
2	M/S Chase Construction Company	26,513,887 9,752,204 2,051,568 38,317,659	32,824,123	32,824,123	15.00% Below 12.00% Below 12.00% Below 2nd Lowest

3	M/S Sana Construction Company	26,513,887 9,752,204 2,051,568 38,317,659	35,053,407	35,053,407	8.15% Below 9.00% Below 11.00% Below 3rd Lowest
---	-------------------------------	--	------------	------------	--

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Shams & Zain Mee Construction Company

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER IN UC-06, BAGH-E- KORANGI, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/10/22
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Twenty Three (23)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as <i>Annex – A</i> for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S King Musakhid Enterprises
- 2 M/S Musakhid Enterprises
- 3 M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers
- 4 M/S Shafia Construction
- 5 M/S H & S Construction
- 6 M/S M K Babar & Brothers Co
- 7 M/S Deep Ocean Associates
- 8 M/S Bin Taj & Company
- 9 M/S Dad Mir & Sons
- 10 M/S Usman Brothers
- 11 M/S MM Traders
- 12 M/S T S Engineering Services
- 13 M/S Rajput Traders
- 14 M/S Syed Associates
- 15 M/S NDK Builders
- 16 M/S USAM Brothers & Co.
- 17 M/S SNK Builders
- 18 M/S Jawad & Co
- 19 M/S Zulfiqar Ali Burro
- 20 M/S Pithiv Construction Company
- 21 M/S Osama Enterprises
- 22 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 23 M/S New Talha Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Jawad & Co	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
2	M/S Zulfiqar Ali Burro	• E-Stamp Not submitted in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Pithiv Construction Company	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
4	M/S Osama Enterprises	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
5	M/S Precision Engineering Services	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.

6	M/S New Talha Enterprises	• Non Provision of Bid Security & Tender Fees hard form.
---	---------------------------	--

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S King Musakhail Enterprises	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,062,927	7,062,927	20.00% Below 20.00% Below 1st Lowest
2	M/S Mushahid Enterprises	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,438,145	7,438,145	15.75% Below 15.75% Below 2nd Lowest
3	M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,506,126	7,506,126	14.98% Below 14.98% Below 3rd Lowest
4	M/S Shafiq Construction	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,521,161	7,521,161	16.00% Below At PAR
5	M/S H & S Construction	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,596,732	7,596,732	13.95% Below 14.00% Below
6	M/S M.K Babar & Brothers Co	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,708,657	7,708,657	12.50% Below 15.00% Below
7	M/S Deep Ocean Associates	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,791,842	7,791,842	12.25% Below 5.50% Below
8	M/S Bin Taj & Company	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,825,192	7,825,192	13.00% Below 9.00% Below
9	M/S Dad Mir & Sons	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,909,898	7,909,898	10.80% Below 8.00% Below
10	M/S Usman Brothers	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,910,479	7,910,479	10.40% Below 10.40% Below
11	M/S MM Traders	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,945,793	7,945,793	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
12	M/S T S Engineering Services	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,945,793	7,945,793	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
13	M/S Rajput Traders	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,945,793	7,945,793	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
14	M/S Syed Associates	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,946,742	7,946,742	9.99% Below 9.99% Below
15	M/S NZK Builders	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	7,977,862	7,977,862	9.70% Below 8.85% Below
16	M/S USAM Brothers & Co	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	8,144,973	8,144,973	7.00% Below 17.00% Below
17	M/S SNK Builders	8,171,858 656,801 8,828,659	8,852,086	8,852,086	2.00% Below 2.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s King Musakhail Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** **PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER IN DIFFERENT STREET OF UC-01, 02 & 03 LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/01/13**
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** **TS00000000641E**
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received** Nine (09)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s Zain Enterprises
- 2 M/s M K Babar & Brothers Co
- 3 M/s Deep Ocean Associates
- 4 M/s H & S Construction
- 5 M/s Precision Engineering Services
- 6 M/s Jawad & Co
- 7 M/s SNK Builders
- 8 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co
- 9 M/s Abdul Rafay Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Deep Ocean Associates	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 18,870,396/-	Rs. 18,870,396/-	SH:I 17.12% Below SH:II 7.17% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Zain Enterprises	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 19,057,952/-	Rs. 19,057,952/-	SH:I 16.00% Below SH:II 16.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s M K Babar & Brothers Co	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 19,251,861/-	Rs. 19,251,861/-	SH:I 15.15% Below SH:II 15.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Jawad & CO	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 19,285,060/-	Rs. 19,285,060/-	SH:I 14.999% Below SH:II 14.999% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 19,522,703/-	Rs. 19,522,703/-	SH:I 13.96% Below SH:II 14.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Abdul Razaq Construction	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 21,516,312/-	Rs. 21,516,312/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s SNK Builders	SH:I 21,981,565/- SH:II 706,474/- Total 22,688,039/-	Rs. 21,667,078/-	Rs. 21,667,078/-	SH:I 4.50% Below SH:II 4.50% Below 7 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Deep Ocean Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD FROM NAGORI MILKSHOP TO APWA GIRLS SCHOOL (I AREA), UC-02, & FROM HBL KORANGI K AREA BRANCH TO APWA BOYS SCHOOL, UC-03, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/02/14**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Nine (09)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who uploaded bids on epaid on the closing date

- 1 M/s Allied Enterprises & Co
- 2 M/s BB Enterprises
- 3 M/s Saderuddin Mandokhail
- 4 M/s Sitara Construction
- 5 M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
- 6 M/s DMZA & Brothers
- 7 M/s IIW Industrial Engineers & Contractors
- 8 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co
- 9 M/s MIK Construction Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

2	M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s IIW Industrial Engineers & Contractors	The Affidavit on E-Stamp is not provided in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders. Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Allied Enterprises & Co	SH:I 27,969,354/- SH:II 1,514,553/- Total 29,483,907/-	Rs. 25,031,837/-	Rs. 25,031,837/-	SH:I 15.10% Below SH:II 16.10% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Sadeeruddin Mandukhai	SH:I 27,969,354/- SH:II 1,514,553/- Total 29,483,907/-	Rs.26,255,822/-	Rs.26,255,822/-	SH:I 11.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s MIK Construction Company	SH:I 27,969,354/- SH:II 1,514,553/- Total 29,483,907/-	Rs. 26,461,806/-	Rs. 26,461,806/-	SH:I 10.25% Below SH:II 10.25% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Silara Construction	SH:I 27,969,354/- SH:II 1,514,553/- Total 29,483,907/-	Rs. 27,847,550/-	Rs.27,847,550/-	SH:I 5.66% Below SH:II 5.55% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Allied Enterprises & Cos

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - II


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - II


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - II

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD NEAR HBL LANDHI BRANCH & C.C TUFF PAVER IN UC-05, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/03/15**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Nine (09)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s G M Group
- 2 M/s BB Enterprises
- 3 M/s Rajput Traders
- 4 M/s S M Associate Company
- 5 M/s Abdul Rafay Construction
- 6 M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 7 M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro
- 8 M/s NZK Builders
- 9 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro	The Affidavit on E-Stamp is not provided in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s NZK Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)


	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s G M Group	SH:I 11,239,870/- SH:II 8,173,105/- SH:III 757,276/- Total 20,170,251/-	Rs. 17,220,441/-	Rs. 17,220,441/-	SH:I 15.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below SH:III 5.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s BB Enterprises	SH:I 11,239,870/- SH:II 8,173,105/- SH:III 757,276/- Total 20,170,251/-	Rs. 17,420,573/-	Rs. 17,420,573/-	SH:I 14.00% Below SH:II 13.00% Below SH:III 15.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Rajput Traders	SH:I 11,239,870/- SH:II 8,173,105/- SH:III 757,276/- Total 20,170,251/-	Rs. 18,121,754/-	Rs. 18,121,754/-	SH:I 10.28% Below SH:II 10.00% Below SH:III 10.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s S M Associate Company	SH:I 11,239,870/- SH:II 8,173,105/- SH:III 757,276/- Total 20,170,251/-	Rs. 18,882,802/-	Rs. 18,882,802/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 2.00% Below SH:III At PAR 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s G M Group

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD NEAR CHOTI MARKET, GOVT HIGH SCHOOL & PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER IN UC-06, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/04/16**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Nine (09)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s H & S Construction
- 2 M/s BB Enterprises
- 3 M/s Rajput Traders
- 4 M/s S M Associate Company
- 5 M/s T S Engineering Services
- 6 M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 7 M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro
- 8 M/s Ever Green Construction
- 9 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro	The Affidavit on E-Stamp is not provided in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Ever Green Construction	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 12,639,593/- SH:II 6,996,786/- SH:III 770,146/- Total 20,406,525/-	Rs. 17,325,181/-	Rs. 17,325,181/-	SH:I 15.46% Below SH:II 14.35% Below SH:III 16.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s T S Engineering Services	SH:I 12,639,593/- SH:II 6,996,786/- SH:III 770,146/- Total 20,406,525/-	Rs. 17,345,546/-	Rs. 17,345,546/-	SH:I 15.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below SH:III 15.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s BB Enterprises	SH:I 12,639,593/- SH:II 6,996,786/- SH:III 770,146/- Total 20,406,525/-	Rs. 17,738,272/-	Rs. 17,738,272/-	SH:I 13.00% Below SH:II 13.00% Below SH:III 15.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Rajput Traders	SH:I 12,639,593/- SH:II 6,996,786/- SH:III 770,146/- Total 20,406,525/-	Rs. 18,341,857/-	Rs. 18,341,857/-	SH:I 10.19% Below SH:II 10.00% Below SH:III 10.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s S M Associate Company	SH:I 12,639,593/- SH:II 6,996,786/- SH:III 770,146/- Total 20,406,525/-	Rs. 19,354,738/-	Rs. 19,354,738/-	SH:I 05.00% Below SH:II 06.00% Below SH:III At PAR 5 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H & S Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL)
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD NEAR TIKON PARK, SECTOR 3-A, UC-07, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/05/17
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE)** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received'** Ten (10)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s Arshman & Brothers
- 2 M/s MSM Enterprises
- 3 M/s Global Engineering Links
- 4 M/s S M Associate Company
- 5 M/s Siltara Construction
- 6 M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 7 M/s Shah Enterprises
- 8 M/s Khan Babar & Brothers
- 9 M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co
- 10 M/s M Z & Brothers Construction Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Khan Babar & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s M Z & Brothers Construction Company	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s S M Associate Company	SH:I 20,591,867/- SH:II 864,525/- Total 21,456,393/-	Rs. 18,676,490/-	Rs. 18,676,490/-	SH:I 13.50% Below SH:II At PAR 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Arshman & Brothers	SH:I 20,591,867/- SH:II 864,525/- Total 21,456,393/-	Rs. 18,881,625/-	Rs. 18,881,625/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Sitara Construction	SH:I 20,591,867/- SH:II 864,525/- Total 21,456,393/-	Rs. 19,192,743/-	Rs. 19,192,743/-	SH:I 10.55% Below SH:II 10.55% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Shah Enterprises	SH:I 20,591,867/- SH:II 864,525/- Total 21,456,393/-	Rs. 19,310,752/-	Rs. 19,310,752/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s S M Associate Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/ REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD CHORHI GALI, SECTOR 51-B, & C.C TUFF PAVER IN UC-08, LANDHI TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/233/06/18**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' Seven (07)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/s H & S Construction
- 2 M/s BB Enterprises
- 3 M/s Saddruddin Mandokhail
- 4 M/s S M Associate Company
- 5 M/s Sitara Construction
6. M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
7. M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Al Rehman Waheed & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)


	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs.18,483,235/-	Rs.18,483,235/-	12.00% Below 16.50% Below At PAR 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s BB Enterprises	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs. 18,562,194/-	Rs. 18,562,194/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 14.00% Below SH:III 15.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs. 18,665,269/-	Rs. 18,665,269/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below SH:III 12.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Saddruddin Mandokhail	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs. 18,919,076/-	Rs. 18,919,076/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below SH:III 10.00% Below
5	M/s S M Associates	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs. 20,196,108/-	Rs. 20,196,108/-	SH:I 5.00% Below SH:II 6.00% Below SH:III At PAR 4 th Lowest.
6	M/s Sitara Construction	SH:I 12,575,065/- SH:II 7,929,691/- SH:III 795,886- Total 21,300,642/-	Rs. 20,757,476/-	Rs. 20,757,476/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below SH:III 12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Abdul Malik Enterprise

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING/WATER SUPPLY LINE IN MUSTAFA TAJ COLONY UC-7 FROM 04 NO POLICE STATION TO EID GAH GROUND IN SARFARAZ TOWN 14000 ROAD UC-07, DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/03/32
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Seven (07)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Raj Engineering Services
- 2 M/s AR & Sons
- 3 M/s GM Group
- 4 M/s BB Enterprises
- 5 M/s KBJ Construction Company
- 6 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 7 M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s AR & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s BMA Enterprises	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s GM Group	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s KBJ Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	SH-I 11,286,501/- SH-II 11,372,054/- SH-III 5,292,226/- Total 27,950,781/-	Rs. 25,155,703/-	Rs. 25,155,703/-	SH-I 10.00% Below SH-II 10.00% Below SH-III 10.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Raj Engineering Services	SH-I 11,286,501/- SH-II 11,372,054/- SH-III 5,292,226/- Total 27,950,781/-	Rs. 20,858,047/-	Rs. 20,858,047/-	SH-I 07.50% Below SH-II 07.50% Below SH-III 07.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN CHAKRA GOTH GRAVEYARD UC-10,IN SECTOR 48-B/N,KARACHI BAKERY NEAR ZAMAN TOWN POICE STATION UC-09,DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/04/33**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS00000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Khan Babar & Brothers
- 2 M/s H & S Construction
- 3 M/s Haider B. Construction
- 4 M/s SNK Builders
- 5 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
- 6 M/s Al Raaziq Enterprises
- 7 M/s Afsar Khan & Co.
- 8 M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
- 9 M/s KBJ Construction Company
- 10 M/s Precision Engineering Services
- 11 M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Afsar Khan & Co.	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Al Raziq Enterprises & Co.	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s KBJ Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Khan Babar & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises	Provided Bid Security less than required amount. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s SNK Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D'/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 7,518,351/- SH:II11,841,130/- Total 19,359,481/-	Rs. 16,767,584/-	Rs. 16,767,564/-	SH:I 14.00% Below SH:II 13.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Haider B Construction	SH:I 7,518,351/- SH:II11,841,130/- Total 19,359,481/-	Rs. 17,326,735.50/-	Rs. 17,326,735.50/-	SH:I 10.50% Below SH:II 10.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H & S Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING SURROUNDING JAMA MASJID VA MADARSA ARABIA RASHEED MEHRAN TOWN, DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/05/34
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Nineteen (19)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2. M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers
3. M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
4. M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
5. M/s Usam Construction Co.
6. M/s Shah Enterprises
7. M/s SNK Builders
8. M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro
9. M/s Precision Engineering Services
10. M/s Rajput Traders
11. M/s Abdul Rafay Construction
12. M/s Saigal Enterprises
13. M/s RA Traders
14. M/s AK Mandokhail
15. M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises
16. M/s Dad Mir & Sons
17. M/s Al Raaziq Enterprises
18. M/s AR & Sons
19. M/s Syed Associates & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Abdul Rafay Construction	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s AK Mandokhail Construction Company	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Al Raaziq Enterprises	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s AR & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Dad Mir & Sons	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises	Provided Bid Security of Less amount (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s RA Traders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s Rajput Traders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/s Saigal Enterprises	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
11	M/s Syed Associates & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
12	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Buriro	Not provided E-Stamp In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
13	M/s Usam Construction Co.	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
14	M/s Shah Enterprises	Not provided Tender fees & Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
15	M/s SNK Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Rs. 12,076,379/-	Rs. 10,144,158.36/-	Rs. 10,144,158.36/-	SH-I 16.00% Below SH-II 16.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers	Rs. 12,076,379/-	Rs. 10,266,129.78/-	Rs. 10,266,129.78/-	SH-I 14.99% Below SH-II 14.99% Below 2 nd Lowest.

3	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	Rs. 12,076,379/-	Rs. 10,796,283/-	Rs. 10,796,283/-	SH:I 10.60% Below SH:II 10.60% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Rs. 12,076,379/-	Rs. 10,989,504/-	Rs. 10,989,504/-	SH:I 09.00% Below SH:II 09.00% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Mushahid Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN SECTOR 48-A PAPAN CHOWK NEAR IMAM BARGAH BABAL HAWAJ SECTOR-48, NEAR AZIZIA SCHOOL, AZAM CLINIC WALI STREET, WHITE HOUSE SCHOOL UC-10, DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/06/35
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** TS00000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Eleven (11)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation:** Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s SNK Builders
- 2 M/s MIK Construction Company
- 3 M/s AR & Sons
- 4 M/s GM Group
- 5 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
- 6 M/s MM Traders
- 7 M/s SS Malik Enterprises
- 8 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 9 M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works
- 10 M/s BB Enterprises
- 11 M/s Prithvi Construction Co.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s AR Sons & Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s GM Group	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard form. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s MM Traders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as Requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Prithvi Construction Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as Requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s SS Malik Enterprises	Submitted Bid Security less than required. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s SNK Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	SH:I 7,317,807/- SH:II 14,364,201/- Total 21,682,008/-	Rs. 19,513,807/-	Rs. 19,513,807/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 10.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s MIK Construction Company	SH:I 7,317,807/- SH:II 14,364,201/- Total 21,682,008/-	Rs. 20,456,979.72/-	Rs. 20,456,979.72/-	SH:I 03.00% Below SH:II 07.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN NOORANI BASTI,MASJID WARSI PMT,NOORANI MASJID,NEAR JAMA MASJID MADINA,UC-11,DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/07/36
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s King Musakhail
- 2 M/s H & S Construction
- 3 M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
- 4 M/s Bin Taj & Company
- 5 M/s Shah Enterprises
- 6 M/s SNK Builders
- 7 M/s AR & Sons
- 8 M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works
- 9 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 10 M/s Precision Engineering Services
- 11 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
- 12 M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s AR Sons & Enterprise	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Bin Taj & Company	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises	Submitted Bid Security less than required. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Jawad & Co. Engineering Works	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Shah Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s SNK Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s King Musakhail Enterprises	SH:I 7,317,807/- SH:II 11,589,938/- Total 18,907,745/-	Rs. 16,071,583/-	Rs. 16,071,583/-	SH:I 15.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 7,317,807/- SH:II 11,589,938/- Total 18,907,745/-	Rs. 16,304,846/-	Rs. 16,304,846/-	SH:I 14.90% Below SH:II 13.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	SH:I 7,317,807/- SH:II 11,589,938/- Total 18,907,745/-	Rs. 16,771,722/-	Rs. 16,771,722/-	SH:I 08.60% Below SH:II 13.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s King Musakhail Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Officer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING/PROVIDING AND LAYING WATER SUPPLY LINE IN MARYAM ABAD 40 C-Y AREA, UC-11, DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/08/37
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Seven (07)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
- 2 M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
- 3 M/s A.K Mandokhail
- 4 M/s MSM Enterprises
- 5 M/s RA Traders
- 6 M/s Mehran Construction Co.
- 7 M/s Al Raaziq Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s A.K Mandokhail Construction Company	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Al Raaziq Enterprises & Co.	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Mehran Construction Company	Not Submitted NTN, SRB and Income Tax Returns as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s RA Traders	Submitted Bid Security less than required. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	SH:I 11,667,719/- SH:II 1,250,107/- Total 11,992,741/-	Rs. 11,484,365/-	Rs. 11,484,365/-	SH:I 08.00% Below SH:II 40.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	SH:I 11,667,719/- SH:II 1,250,107/- Total 11,992,741/-	Rs. 11,571,872/-	Rs. 11,571,872/-	SH:I 11.00% Below SH:II 05.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Saeed Rehman Builders

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING LAYING SEWERAGE LINE /PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN VARIOUS STREETS OF CHRISTIAN TOWN UC-11,DISTRICT KORANGI-III NA-234 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/234/09/38
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Seven (07)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s H & S Construction
2. M/s BMA Enterprises
3. M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
4. M/s Chase Construction Company
5. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
6. M/s I.K Construction
7. M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises
8. M/s Precision Engineering Services

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s I.K Construction	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s Lala Rehman Enterprises	Submitted Bid Security less than required. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Chase Construction Company	Not Uploaded requisite documents on E-pad. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Submitted Bid Security less than required. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s H & S Construction	SH:I 9,048,064/- SH:II 15,034,877/- Total 24,082,941/-	Rs. 20,319,363/-	Rs. 20,319,336/-	SH:I 18.00% Below SH:II 14.20% Below 1 st Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H & S Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed,


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL) Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage - Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER, WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF IKRAMABAD, MUHAMMADI COLONY, QASIMABAD, ZAHIDABAD, UC-02 & UC-04, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, PS-125, NA-248, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/02/102
5	PPRA Ref.No (TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2025 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2025 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Four (04)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S MSM Enterprises
- 2 M/S MIK Construction Company
- 3 M/S BESCON
- 4 M/S Badrudin and Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Badrudin and Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S MSM Enterprises	21,781,249/-	18,870,195	18,870,195	SH-I= 13% Below SH-II= 15% Below SH-III= 10% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S MIK Construction Company	21,781,249/-	19,984,290	19,984,290	SH-I= 8.25% Below SH-II= 8.25% Below SH-III= 8.25% Below 2nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: MSM Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 25 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT BLOCK 08 & BLOCK-02, UC-05, GULBERG TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/06/100
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS00000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2025 at 1100 Hrs.
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2025 at 1130 Hrs.
8	No. of Bids Received	Eight (8)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date:

1	M/S S M Associate Company
2	M/S Satoon Co
3	M/S DGN Associates
4	M/S BESCON
5	M/S Abdullah Hisbullah Construction CO
6	M/S BB Enterprises
7	M/S Mahavir Traders
8	M/s Haider B Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Abdullah Hisbullah Construction CO	Not Submitted Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Mahavir Traders	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Haider B Construction	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S S M Associate Company	26472873	23,861,238	23,861,238	SH-1= 10% Below SH-2= 100% Below 2nd Lowest.
2	M/S Saloon Co	26472873	26,472,873	26,472,873	At Par 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s SM Associate Company

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT VARIOUS STREETS OF SECTOR 11-G, UC-10, GHODHRA, NA-24B, NEW KARACHI TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/24B/03/103
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Five (05)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Jawad & Co
- 2 M/S Shahensha Enterprises
- 3 M/S BESCON
- 4 M/S MSM Enterprises
- 5 M/S Global Engineering Link

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Global Engineering Link	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBC/1 Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 36 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Jawad & Co	21,910,961/-	18,379,687	18,379,687	SH-I & II = 16.50% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Shahensha Enterprises	21,910,961/-	19,276,553	19,276,553	SH-I = 12% Below SH-II = 13% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S BESCON	21,910,961/-	19,719,665	19,719,665	SH-I & II = 10% Below 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Jawad & Co

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PAOS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A

Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT VARIOUS STREETS OF SECTOR 11-F, UC-09, NEW KARACHI TOWN, PS- 124, NA-248, NEW KARACHI TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/04/104
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS00000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Six (06)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Mushahid Enterprises
- 2 M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers
- 3 M/S Fida Hussain & Co
- 4 M/S DGN Associates
- 5 M/S MSM Enterprises
- 6 M/S BESCON

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S BESCON	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Mushahid Enterprises	15,642,735/-	12,983,470	12,983,470	SH-I & II= 17.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Kamal Khan & Brothers	15,642,735/-	13,299,453	13,299,453	SH-I & II= 14.98% Below 2nd Lowest.

3	M/S Fida Hussain & Co	15,642,735/-	13,665,202	13,665,202	SH-I= 13% Below SH-II= 02% Below 3rd Lowest.
---	-----------------------	--------------	------------	------------	--

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Mushahid Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER

Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical-III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER

Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CG TUFF PAVER AT VARIOUS BLOCKS OF UC-05, GULBERG TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDGL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/05/105
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000041E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Ten (10)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 2 M/S King Enterprises
- 3 M/S Shams & Zain Meo Rajput Construction Company
- 4 M/S Naw Ali Nawaz Burro
- 5 M/S Shamroz Construction Company
- 6 M/S Satoon Co
- 7 M/S KBJ Construction Company
- 8 M/S DGN Associates
- 9 M/S BB Enterprises
- 10 M/S Badrudin and Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S KBJ Construction Company	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Badrudin and Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Arshman & Brothers	39,715,083/-	33,757,521	31,772,066	SH-18 II= 20%% Below 1st Lowest.

2	M/S King Enterprises	39,715,083/-	33,372,396	33,372,396	SH-I= 16% Below SH-II= 15% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Shams & Zain Meo Rajput Construction Company	39,715,083/-	33,950,532	33,950,532	SH-I= 14.50% Below SH-II= 15% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S New Ali Nawaz Burko	39,715,083/-	34,949,273	34,949,273	SH-I & II= 12.00% Below
5	M/S Shamroz Construction Company	39,715,083/-	35,743,575	35,743,575	SH-I & II= 10.00% Below
6	M/S Saloon Co	39,715,083/-	39,715,083	39,715,083	At Par

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Arshman & Brothers

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT SURROUNDING STREETS OF JINNAH GROUND & ABU TURAB IMAM BARGAH, UC-05, GULBERG TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/07/107
5	PPRA Ref.No. (TSE):	TS0000000541E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Nine (09)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S King Enterprises
- 2 M/S Saddam ulah Enterprises
- 3 M/S Susleheen Brothers
- 4 M/S Ali Nawaz Burio
- 5 M/S Mas Holdings
- 6 M/S Saloon Co
- 7 M/S Precision Engineering Works
- 8 M/S DGN Associates
- 9 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Precision Engineering Works	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S DGN Associates	Applied on EPADS only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	Only one Work Order and Completion Certificate of same nature work submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SRO/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S King Enterprises	26,472,874/-	21,738,316	21,738,316	SH-I= 18% Below SH-II= 12% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Saddam ulah Enterprises	26,472,874/-	21,831,343	21,831,343	SH-I= 17.10% Below SH-II= 20.00% Below 2nd Lowest.

3	M/S Sualheen Brothers	26,472,874/-	22,501,942	22,501,942	SH-I & II= 15.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S Ali Nawaz Bures	26,472,874/-	23,161,764	23,161,764	SH-I & II= 12.50% Below
5	Mas Holdings	26,472,874/-	25,693,968	25,693,968	SH-I= 03.00% Below SH-II= At Par
6	Satoon Co	26,472,874/-	2,647,274	2,647,274	At Par

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S King Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING & LAYING WATER SUPPLY & SEWERAGE PIPE LINE AT VARIOUS STREETS OF UC-05, GULBERG TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-28/248/08/105
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Seven (07)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
- 2 M/S King Asif Rehman & Brothers
- 3 M/S Afsar Khan & Co
- 4 M/S S M Associate Company
- 5 M/S MIK Construction Company
- 6 M/S Fida Hussain & Co
- 7 M/S MSM Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	10,713,250/-	8,511,877	8,511,877	SH-I & II= 20.56% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S King Asif Rehman & Brothers	10,713,250/-	9,184,668	9,184,668	SH-I= 08.00% Below 22.00% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Afsar Khan & Co	10,713,250/-	9,320,527	9,320,527	SH-I= 13.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S S M Associate Company	10,713,250/-	10,058,091	10,058,091	SH-I & II = 10% Below

5	M/K Construction Company	10,713,250/-	10,087,256	10,087,256	SH-I= 4.50% Below SH-II= 7.50% Below
6	Fida Hussain & Co	10,713,250/-	10,137,633	10,137,633	SH-I= 103% Below SH-II= 08.30% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS.

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	CONSTRUCTION OF DOUBLE TRACK METALLED ROAD ALONG WITH GREEN BELT AND VICTORIA LIGHTS FROM MUKKA CHOWK TO JINNAH GROUND, BLOCK-08, UC-05, GULBERG TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/248/09/109
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Fourteen (14)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPADS on the closing date

- 1 M/S Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
- 2 M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
- 3 M/S Muhammad Siddique Brothers
- 4 M/S Harish & Co.
- 5 M/S Shamroz Construction Company
- 6 M/S SM Associate Company
- 7 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 8 M/S Polite Pipe Industry
- 9 M/S Satoon Co
- 10 M/S BB Enterprises
- 11 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 12 M/S Mahavir Traders
- 13 M/S Haider B Construction
- 14 M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Mahavir Traders	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Haider B Construction	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but Not Provided tender fees & Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	41,172,919/-	32,938,747	32,938,747	SH-I, II & III= 19.99% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	41,172,919/-	33,646,577	33,646,577	SH-I= 21.50% Below SH-II= 21.20% Below SH-III= 10.50% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Muhammad Siddique Brothers	41,172,919/-	34,998,981	34,998,981	SH-I, II & III= 15.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S Harish & Co.	41,172,919/-	36,232,168	36,232,168	SH-I, II & III= 12% Below
5	M/S Shamroz Construction Company	41,172,919/-	37,055,626	37,055,626	SH-I, II & III= 10.00% Below
6	M/S SM Associate Company	41,172,919/-	37,398,703	37,398,703	SH-I= 10.50% Below SH-II= At Par SH-III= 05.20% Below
7	Arshman & Brothers	41,172,919/-	3,886,309	3,886,309	SH-I= 08.00% Below SH-II= At Par SH-III= At Par
8	Polite Pipe Industry	41,172,919/-	39,734,679	39,734,679	SH-I= 4.55% Below SH-II= 02.00% Below SH-III= 01.00% Below
9	M/S Satoon Co	41,172,919/-	41,172,919	41,172,919	At Par

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - A


Manager Technical III (South), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - A

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING PE WATER SUPPLY PIPE LINE AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-35, BLOCK 7 & 8, UC-40, UC-41, UC-42 A, UC-42 B, BLOCK # 3&4, UC-43 C & C-1 AREA, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/08/82
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eight (08)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date.

- 1 M/S MMM ENTERPRISES
- 2 M/S SM ASSOCIATES COMPANY
- 3 M/S MSM ENTERPRISES
- 4 M/S M.H KHAN & BROTHERS
- 5 M/S KING ASIF REHMAN & BROTHERS
- 6 M/S S S ENTERPRISES
- 7 M/S BADRU DIN & BROTHERS
- 8 M/S ASGHAR KHAN TAREEN

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification.

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S MMM ENTERPRISES.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
2	M/S S S ENTERPRISES.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S BADRU DIN & BROTHERS	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

4	M/S ASGHAR KHAN TAREEN.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
---	-------------------------	---

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBO* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S MSM ENTERPRISES	Rs. 14,386,372/-	Rs. 12,660,007/-	Rs. 12,660,007/-	12.00% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S SM ASSOCIATES COMPANY	Rs. 14,386,372/-	Rs. 12,717,553/-	Rs. 12,717,553/-	11.60% Below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S KING ASIF REHMAN & BROTHERS	Rs. 14,386,372/-	Rs. 13,235,462/-	Rs. 13,235,462/-	8.00% Below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S M.H KHAN & BROTHERS	Rs. 14,386,372/-	Rs. 13,349,449/-	Rs. 13,349,449/-	7.21% Below 4 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S MSM ENTERPRISES.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D



Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D



Manager (Hyd), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD FROM EIDGAH TO STAR BAKERY AND DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-02, NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/02/76
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Thirteen (13)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S A.H WARSİ & SONS
- 2 M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL
- 3 M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTION CO.
- 4 M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS
- 5 M/S POLITE PIPE INDUSTRY
- 6 M/S HAJI SAHIB JAN & SONS
- 7 M/S ABDUL SATTAR & SONS
- 8 M/S SHAIKH MISAR KHAN & BROTHERS
- 9 M/S S.M ASSOCIATE COMPANY
- 10 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
- 11 M/S B.B ENTERPRISES
- 12 M/S HAIDER B. CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 13 M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S A.H WARSİ & SONS	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

2	M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S HAJI SAHIB JAN & SONS.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
4	M/S SHAIKH MISAR KHAN & BROTHERS.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
5	M/S B.B ENTERPRISES.	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
6	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
7	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S S.M ASSOCIATE COMPANY,	Rs. 33,282,715/-	Rs. 28,123,894/-	Rs. 28,123,894/-	15.50% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S ABDOUL SATTAR & SONS	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs. 28,313,606/-	Rs. 28,313,606/-	14.93% Below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs. 29,621,616/-	Rs. 29,621,616/-	11.00 % Below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTI ON CO.	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs. 29,954,443/-	Rs. 29,954,443/-	10.00% Below 4 th Lowest

5	M/S POLITE PIPE INDUSTRY	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs. 30,437,043/-	Rs. 30,437,043/-	8.55% Below 5 th Lowest
6	M/S HAIDER B.CONSTRUC TION COMPANY	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs.33,282,715/-	Rs.33,282,715/-	AT PAR 6 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S S.M ASSOCIATE COMPANY.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before Issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D**



**Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D**



**Manager (Hyd) . PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVES AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF MULTI CHOWK, NAZIMABAD NO.2, KHALIDABAD, HASSAN COLONY, 400 QARTERS, NISHTER COLONY, LIAGUAT CHOWK, FAROOQABAD AND DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-03, UC-05, UC-06 AND UC-07, NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/03/77
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eight (08)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTION CO.
- 2 M/S GM GROUP
- 3 M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 4 M/S AL MEO BUILDERS
- 5 M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS
- 6 M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES
- 7 M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS
- 8 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S GM GROUP	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
2	M/S AL MEO BUILDERS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

4	M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided (Failed in Eligibility).
---	-------------------------------	--

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS	Rs. 36,622,041/-	Rs. 31,128,735/-	Rs. 31,128,735/-	15.00% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs. 36,622,041/-	Rs. 31,861,175/-	Rs. 31,861,175/-	13.00% Below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTION CO.	Rs. 36,622,041/-	Rs. 32,959,837/-	Rs. 32,959,837/-	10.00% Below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Rs. 36,622,041/-	Rs. 36,255,821/-	Rs. 36,255,821/-	1.00 % Below 4 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Manager (Hyd) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF NAZIMABAD NO.1 UC-04 AND AT USMANIA MASJID UC-05, NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/04/78
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Ten (10)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S I.K CONSTRUCTIONS
- 2 M/S A.H WARSI & SONS
- 3 M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL
- 4 M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES
- 5 M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTION CO.
- 6 M/S OMZA & BROTHERS
- 7 M/S ALLADIN
- 8 M/S POLITE PIPE INDUSTRY
- 9 M/S S.M ASSOCIATE COMPANY
- 10 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S I.K CONSTRUCTIONS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).

2	M/S OMZA & BROTHERS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided & Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
4	M/S POLITE PIPE INDUSTRY	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
5	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
6	M/S A.H WARS! & SONS	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S ALLADIN	Rs. 24,662,343/-	Rs. 21,456,238/-	Rs. 21,456,238/-	13.00% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL	Rs. 24,662,343/-	Rs. 21,949,485/-	Rs. 21,949,485/-	11.00% Below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S SHANZAY CONSTRUCTION CO.	Rs. 24,662,343/-	Rs. 22,196,108/-	Rs. 22,196,108/-	10.00% Below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S S.M ASSOCIATE COMPANY	Rs. 24,662,343/-	Rs. 22,319,420/-	Rs. 22,319,420/-	9.50 % Below 4 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S ALLADIN.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D



Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D



Manager (Hyd), PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-35, UC-39, BLOCK 7, 8 & 09 AND UC-40, BLOCK-5 & 6, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/05/79
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eight (08)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S OMZA & BROTHERS
- 2 M/S ALLADIN
- 3 M/S ABDUL SATTAR & SONS
- 4 M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO
- 5 M/S PRITHVI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 6 M/S B.B ENTERPRISES
- 7 M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS
- 8 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S B.B ENTERPRISES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
2	M/S PRITHVI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided & Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

3	M/S ALLADIN,	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
4	M/S OMZA & BROTHERS	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
5	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES.	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
6	M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS,	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO	Rs. 34,423,641/-	Rs.30,981,277 /-	Rs.30,981,277 /-	10.00% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S ABDUL SATTAR & SONS	Rs. 34,423,641/-	Rs. 31,029,470/-	Rs. 31,029,470/-	9.86% Below 2 nd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S MALIK ZOHAB & CO.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Manager (Hyd) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-41B, B-1 AREA, A-1 AREA, UC-42A BLOCK-3 & 4 AND UC-43 C AND C1 AREA, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/06/80
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Seven (07)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS
- 2 M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 3 M/S SADDAM ULLAH ENTERPRISES
- 4 M/S PRITHVI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 5 M/S B.B ENTERPRISES
- 6 M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS
- 7 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S B.B ENTERPRISES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
2	M/S PRITHVI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S ATTA MUHAMMAD KHAN & SONS.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S SADDAM ULLAH ENTERPRISES	Rs. 31,697,652/-	Rs. 26,911,307/-	Rs. 26,911,307/-	15.10% Below 1st Lowest
2	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs. 31,697,652/-	Rs. 27,259,980/-	Rs. 27,259,980/-	14.00% Below 2nd Lowest
3	M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS	Rs. 31,697,652/-	Rs. 27,893,934/-	Rs. 27,893,934/-	12.00% Below 3rd Lowest
4	M/S SINDH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Rs. 31,697,652/-	Rs. 28,770,887/-	Rs. 28,770,887/-	10.00% Below 4th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S SADDAM ULLAH ENTERPRISES.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Manager (Hyd) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT UC-04 & 05 NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/07/29
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Twelve(12)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S H & S Construction
- 2 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 3 M/S SNK Builders
- 4 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 5 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 6 M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 7 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 8 M/S Asghar Khan Tareen
- 9 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 10 M/S Saigal Enterprises
- 11 M/S Abdul Raffay Construction
- 12 M/S Global Engineering Links

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Asghar Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Saigal Enterprises	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

7	M/S Abdul Raffay Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fee in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements


Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBO */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S H & S Construction	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	21,223,433	21,223,433	14.75% Below 15.10% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Arshman & Brothers	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	21,570,410	21,570,410	13.00% Below 13.00% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S SNK Builders	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	22,158,580	22,158,580	11.00% Below 11.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	22,293,123	22,293,123	10.50% Below 10.50% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S H & S Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL). Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 38(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-02, (NEAR TALIB-E- CHAMAN PARK), NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/01/23
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Fifteen(15)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Shaikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
- 2 M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
- 3 M/S King Enterprises
- 4 M/S MM Traders
- 5 M/S Al Meo Builders
- 6 M/S RESCOM Construction
- 7 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 8 M/S Asgher Khan Tareen
- 9 M/S Ahmed Engineering Services
- 10 M/S Friends Construction Syndicate
- 11 M/S Malik Zohab & Co
- 12 M/S Alladin
- 13 M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 14 M/S Mahavir Traders
- 15 M/S BB Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Meo Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S RESCOM Construction	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Asgher Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

5	M/S Ahmed Engineering Services	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Friends Construction Syndicate	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Alladin	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/S Mahavir Traders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees. In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
11	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees. In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Shaikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	SH-I 33846134/- SH-II 6723182/- 40589316/-	31,596,774	31,596,774	22.1% Below 22.1% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co	SH-I 33846134/- SH-II 6723182/- 40589316/-	34,078,225	34,078,225	16% Below 16% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S King Enterprises	SH-I 33846134/- SH-II 6723182/- 40589316/-	36,579,617	36,579,617	10.00% Below 9.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S MM Traders	SH-I 33846134/- SH-II 6723182/- 40589316/-	40,569,136	40,569,136	At PAR At PAR

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Shaikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-02, (NEAR ALLAH GHAZI PAKWAN) SHADMAN TOWN, NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/02/24
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No of Bids Received	Fifteen (15)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Al Wasay Associates
- 2 M/S Saddamullah Enterprises
- 3 M/S S M Associates Company
- 4 M/S Haider B Construction Co
- 5 M/S Usman Brothers
- 6 M/S Ever Green Construction
- 7 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 8 M/S A H Warsi & Sons
- 9 M/S ATZ Group Construction Co
- 10 M/S Abdul Razaq Construction
- 11 M/S Saddrudin Mandpkhal
- 12 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 13 M/S Suleheen Brothers
- 14 M/S Allied Enterprises
- 15 M/S Ahmed Engineering Services

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Haider B Construction Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Usman Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Ever Green Construction	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

5	M/S A H Warsi & Sons	Quoted Rate is Above the Approved Cost. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S ATZ Group Construction Co	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Abdul Razaq Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Saddrudin Mandpkhail	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/S Susaleheen Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
11	M/S Allied Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Ahmed Engineering Services	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements


Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Al Wasay Associates	SH-I 20479840/- SH-II 4973579/- 25453419/-	22,293,682	22,293,682	13.00% Below 10.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Saddamullah Enterprises	SH-I 20479840/- SH-II 4973579/- 25453419/-	22,498,481	22,498,481	12.00% Below 10.00% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S S.M Associates Company	SH-I 20479840/- SH-II 4973579/- 25453419/-	23,153,830	23,153,830	10.50% Below 3.00% Below 3rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Wasay Associates

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before Issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT UC-02, NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/03/25
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No of Bids Received	Thirteen(13)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Allied Enterprises
- 2 M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises
- 3 M/S King Enterprises
- 4 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 5 M/S Al Wasay Associates
- 6 M/S Deep Ocean Associates
- 7 M/S Raj Engineering Services
- 8 M/S MIK Construction Company
- 9 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 10 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 11 M/S Al Meo Builders
- 12 M/S Abdul Rafay Construction
- 13 M/S Asgher Khan Tareen

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S MIK Construction Company	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Al Meo Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Abdul Rafay Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

6	M/S Asghar Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
---	------------------------	--

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Allied Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	19,641,747	19,641,747	21.00% Below 21.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	20,748,149	20,748,149	16.55% Below 16.55% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S King Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	20,977,588	20,977,588	17.00% Below 16.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	21,854,552	21,854,552	12.10% Below 12.10% Below
5	M/S Al Wasay Associates	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	21,994,508	21,994,508	12.40% Below 12.40% Below
6	M/S Deep Ocean Associates	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	22,222,114	22,222,114	11.21% Below 8.21% Below
7	M/S Raj Engineering Services	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	23,619,822	23,619,822	5.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Allied Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT UC-01,& 03 NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/04/26
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Fifteen (15)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Abdul Rafay Construction
- 2 M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons
- 3 M/S Shamroz Construction Company
- 4 M/S RESCOM Construction
- 5 M/S Raj Engineering Services
- 6 M/S Asgher Khan Tareen
- 7 M/S KBJ Construction Company
- 8 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 9 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 10 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co
- 11 M/S Global Engineering Links
- 12 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 13 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 14 M/S BB Enterprises
- 15 M/S Zaviyar Builders & Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Asgher Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S KBJ Construction Company	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Arshman & Brothers	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

5	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/S Zaviyar Builders & Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Abdul Rafay Construction	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	28,500,484	28,500,484	15.00% Below 10.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	28,990,955	28,990,955	13.00% Below 11.95% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Shamroz Construction Company	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	29,942,547	29,942,547	10.00% Below 10.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S RESCOM Construction	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	29,945,542	29,945,542	9.991% Below 9.991% Below
5	M/S Raj Engineering Services	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	30,607,938	30,607,938	8.00% Below 8.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Abdul Rafay Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-04, BUFFERZONE (NEAR BABUL SALAM MASJID) NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/05/27
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Twelve (12)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Saddruddin Mandokhail
- 2 M/S H & S Construction
- 3 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 4 M/S MM Traders
- 5 M/S S M Associate Company
- 6 M/S Evergreen Construction
- 7 M/S Haider B Construction Company
- 8 M/S Mahavir Traders
- 9 M/S PK Chawla Construction Company
- 10 M/S Abdul Raffay Construction
- 11 M/S BB Enterprises
- 12 M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Evergreen Construction	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Haider B Construction Company	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Mahavir Traders	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S PK Chawla Construction Company	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Abdul Raffay Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

7	M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
---	-------------------------------	--

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Saddruddin Mandokhail	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	24,070,798	24,070,798	20.00% Below 20.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S H & S Construction	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	25,504,052	25,504,052	15.15% Below 15.52% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	26,678,304	26,678,304	11.10% Below 12.10% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S MM Traders	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	27,079,647	27,079,647	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
5	M/S S M Associate Company	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	27,892,527	27,892,527	8.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Saddruddin Mandokhail

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL) Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-08, N BLOCK NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/06/28
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Fourteen (14)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date:

- 1 M/S G M Group
- 2 M/S Muhammad Siddique & Brothers
- 3 M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
- 4 M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons
- 5 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 6 M/S Haji Sahib Jan & Sons
- 7 M/S Mohib Enterprises
- 8 M/S Al Meo Builders
- 9 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 10 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 11 M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 12 M/S PK Chawla Construction Company
- 13 M/S Friends Construction Syndicate
- 14 M/S Global Engineering Links

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Meo Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S PK Chawla Construction Company	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

6	M/S Friends Construction Syndicate	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S G M Group	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	32,370,592	32,370,592	20.00% Below 20.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Muhammad Siddique & Brothers	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	33,117,606	33,117,606	15.15% Below 15.52% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	34,030,622	34,030,622	11.10% Below 12.10% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	35,952,748	35,952,748	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
5	Arshman & Brothers	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	36,317,618	36,317,618	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
6	Haji Sahib Jan & Sons	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	37,392,184	37,392,184	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
7	M/S Mohib Enterprises	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	45,650,834	45,650,834	8.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S G M Group

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT UC-04 & 05 NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/07/29
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No.of Bids Received	Twelve(12)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S H & S Construction
- 2 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 3 M/S SNK Builders
- 4 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 5 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 6 M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 7 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 8 M/S Asgher Khan Tareen
- 9 M/S Precision Engineering Services
- 10 M/S Saigal Enterprises
- 11 M/S Abdul Raffay Construction
- 12 M/S Global Engineering Links

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Asgher Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Saigal Enterprises	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)

7	M/S Abdul Raffay Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fee in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteriarequirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S H & S Construction	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	21,223,433	21,223,433	14.75% Below 15.10% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Arshman & Brothers	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	21,670,410	21,670,410	13.00% Below 13.00% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S SHK Builders	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	22,168,580	22,168,580	11.00% Below 11.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 20477137/- SH-II 4431380/- 24908517/-	22,293,123	22,293,123	10.50% Below 10.50% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S H & S Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Godal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.C TUFF PAVEMENT AT UC-02, NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-28/250/03/25
5	PPRA Ref.No.(TSE)	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Thirteen(13)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation.	Bids downloaded from E PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on EPads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Allied Enterprises
- 2 M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises
- 3 M/S King Enterprises
- 4 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 5 M/S Al Wasay Associates
- 6 M/S Deep Ocean Associates
- 7 M/S Raj Engineering Services
- 8 M/S MIK Construction Company
- 9 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 10 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 11 M/S Al-Med Builders
- 12 M/S Abdul Rafay Construction
- 13 M/S Asghar Khan Tareen

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Al-Med Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Abdul Rafay Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Asghar Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 36 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Allied Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	19,841,747	19,841,747	21.00% Below 21.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Abdul Malik Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	20,748,140	20,748,140	16.55% Below 16.55% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S King Enterprises	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	20,977,588	20,977,588	17.00% Below 16.50% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	21,854,552	21,854,552	12.10% Below 12.10% Below
5	M/S Al Wasay Associates	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	21,994,508	21,994,508	12.40% Below 12.40% Below
6	M/S Deep Ocean Associates	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	22,222,114	22,222,114	11.21% Below 6.21% Below
7	M/S MK Construction Company	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	23,022,828	23,022,828	07.50% Below 07% Below
8	M/S Raj Engineering Services	SH-I 19986918/- SH-II 4876053/- 24862971/-	23,618,822	23,618,822	5.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Allied Enterprises

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Civil Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING C.G TUFF PAVER AT UC-01,& 03 NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/04/26
5	PPRA Ref.No (TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Fifteen (15)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Abdul Rafeq Construction
- 2 M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons
- 3 M/S Shamroz Construction Company
- 4 M/S RESCOM Construction
- 5 M/S Raj Engineering Services
- 6 M/S Asgher Khan Tareen
- 7 M/S KBJ Construction Company
- 8 M/S OMZA & Brothers
- 9 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 10 M/S Malik Zohaib & Co
- 11 M/S Global Engineering Links
- 12 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 13 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 14 M/S BB Enterprises
- 15 M/S Zaviyar Builders & Construction

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Asgher Khan Tareen	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S KBJ Construction Company	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S OMZA & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Arshman & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

5	M/S Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/S Aziz ul Rahman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/S Zaviyar Builders & Construction	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD/P Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Abdul Rafay Construction	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	28,500,464	28,500,464	15.00% Below 10.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	28,990,955	28,990,955	13.00% Below 11.95% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Shamroz Construction Company	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	29,942,547	29,942,547	10.00% Below 10.00% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S RESCOM Construction	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	29,945,542	29,945,542	9.991% Below 9.991% Below
5	M/S Raj Engineering Services	SH-I 28841641/- SH-II 4427856/- 33269497/-	30,607,938	30,607,938	8.00% Below 8.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Abdul Rafay Construction

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 35(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-04, BUFFERZONE (NEAR BABUL SALAM MASJID) NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/05/27
5	PPRA Ref.No. (TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received.	Twelve (12)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S Saddruddin Mandokhal
- 2 M/S H & S Construction
- 3 M/S S Zaman & Brothers
- 4 M/S MM Traders
- 5 M/S S M Associate Company
- 6 M/S Evergreen Construction
- 7 M/S Haider B Construction Company
- 8 M/S Mahavir Traders
- 9 M/S PK Chawla Construction Company
- 10 M/S Abdul Raffay Construction
- 11 M/S BB Enterprises
- 12 M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Evergreen Construction	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Haider B Construction Company	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Mahavir Traders	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S PK Chawla Construction Company	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S Abdul Raffay Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/S BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

7	M/S Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
---	-------------------------------	--

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteria requirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S Saddruddin Mandokhail	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	24,070,798	24,070,798	20.00% Below 20.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S H & S Construction	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	25,504,052	25,504,052	15.15% Below 15.52% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S S Zaman & Brothers	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	26,678,304	26,678,304	11.10% Below 12.10% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S MM Traders	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	27,079,647	27,079,647	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
5	M/S S M Associate Company	SH-I 23051510/- SH-II 7036987/- 30088497/-	27,892,527	27,892,527	8.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S Saddruddin Mandokhail

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.(PIDCL), Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 38(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3	Title of Procurement:	PROVIDING AND LAYING METALLED ROAD AT UC-06, N BLOCK NORTH NAZIMABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/250/06/28
5	PPRA Ref.No (TSE):	TS0000000641E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8	No. of Bids Received	Fourteen (14)
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Bids downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who uploaded bids on Epads on the closing date

- 1 M/S G M Group
- 2 M/S Muhammad Siddique & Brothers
- 3 M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
- 4 M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons
- 5 M/S Arshman & Brothers
- 6 M/S Haji Sahib Jan & Sons
- 7 M/S Mohib Enterprises
- 8 M/S Al Meo Builders
- 9 M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers
- 10 M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 11 M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 12 M/S PK Chawla Construction Company
- 13 M/S Friends Construction Syndicate
- 14 M/S Global Engineering Links

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Sr. No.	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/S Al Meo Builders	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S Aziz ul Rehman & Brothers	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/S Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/S Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/S PK Chawla Construction Company	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

6	M/S Friends Construction Syndicate	Completion Certificates of the Similar Nature schemes are not submitted on EPADS. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/S Global Engineering Links	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical/Criteriarequirements

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost	Quoted Cost	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S G M Group	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	32,370,592	32,370,592	20.00% Below 20.00% Below 1st Lowest.
2	M/S Muhammad Siddique & Brothers	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	33,117,606	33,117,606	15.15% Below 15.52% Below 2nd Lowest.
3	M/S Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	34,030,622	34,030,622	11.10% Below 12.10% Below 3rd Lowest.
4	M/S Abdul Sattar & Sons	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	35,952,748	35,952,748	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
5	Arshman & Brothers	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	36,317,618	36,317,618	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
6	Haji Sahib Jan & Sons	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	37,392,184	37,392,184	10.00% Below 10.00% Below
7	M/S Mohib Enterprises	SH-I 34732419/- SH-II 6768340/- 41500758/-	45,650,834	45,650,834	8.00% Below 5.00% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S G M Group

The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - B


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - B

4	M/s GM Group	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form, (Failed in Eligibility)
---	--------------	---

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD */ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Al-Wasay Associates	SH:I 7,151,936/- SH:II 16,890,913/- Total 24,042,849/-	Rs. 20,533,757/-	Rs. 20,533,757/-	SH:I 16.00% Below SH:II 14.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Chase Construction Company	SH:I 7,151,936/- SH:II 16,890,913/- Total 24,042,849/-	Rs. 21,014,668.4/-	Rs. 21,014,668.4/-	SH:I 14.00% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al-Wasay Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


 Field Official, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 MEMBER
 Procurement Committee - C


 Executive Engineer, PIDCL
 CONVENER
 Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF BEAMPURA UC-01, HASSAN LASHARI UC-02 & GARDEN UC-03, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/06/09**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TSC000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Sixteen (16)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Aboul Maik Enterprises
2. M/s S & S Enterprises
3. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
4. M/s SM & Co.
5. M/s Kanval Khan & Brothers
6. M/s MIK Construction Company
7. M/s DGN Associates
8. M/s R.M Brothers
9. M/s AK Mandokhail
10. M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
11. M/s Haider.B. Construction
12. M/s Usam Construction Co.
13. M/s SNK Builders
14. M/s MAS Holding
15. M/s MSM Enterprises
16. M/s Dad Mir & Sons

The technically non responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s MAS Holdings	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Dad Mir & Sons	Not provided Work Completion as Recusite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s AK Mandokhail Construction Company	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Usam Construction Co.	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s S.N.K Builders	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s SM & Co.	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s DGN Associates	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)


The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,188,834/-	Rs. 8,916,300/-	SH:I 17.50% Below SH:II 17.50% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s S & S Enterprises	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,002,760/-	Rs. 9,002,760/-	SH:I 10.70% Below SH:II 16.70% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Muhammad Enterprises	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,024,376.06/-	Rs. 9,024,376.06/-	SH:I 16.50% Below SH:II 16.50% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,188,652.11/-	Rs. 9,188,652.11/-	SH:I 14.98% Below SH:II 14.98% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s R.M Brothers	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,430,686/-	Rs. 9,430,686/-	SH:I 14.45% Below SH:II 01.56% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s MIK Construction Company	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,534,757.86/-	Rs. 9,564,757.86/-	SH:I 11.50% Below SH:II 11.50% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,712,550/-	Rs. 9,712,550/-	SH:I 10.00% Below SH:II 11.00% Below 7 th Lowest.
8	M/s Haider B. Co nstruction	SH:I 9,375,437/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 10,807,636/-	Rs. 9,753,891/-	Rs. 9,753,891/-	SH:I 9.75% Below SH:II 8.75% Below 8 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

 Field Official, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C	 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C
 Executive Engineer, PIDCL CONVENER Procurement Committee - C	

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
 2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
 3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF METALLED ROAD AT ORANGE STREET BATTI COMPOUND TO LYARI EXPRESSWAY, GARDEN UC-01, UC-02 & UC-03, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.
 4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/05/87
 5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
 8. No. of Bids Received: Fifteen (15)
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
 10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.
- The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan
2. M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
3. M/s W.J Enterprises
4. M/s SM Associate Company
5. M/s Abdul Sattar & Sons
6. M/s Arshman & Brothers
7. M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering
8. M/s Polite Pipe Industry
9. M/s NK and Company
10. M/s MAS Holding
11. M/s BB Enterprises
12. M/s Kakar Construction
13. M/s IIW Industrial Engineers
14. M/s Civil Craft Construction
15. M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s BB Enterprises	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s NK and Company	Submitted Bid Security in shape of Insurance Guarantee (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Not Submitted E-stamp in Hard form. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s IIW Industrial Engineers	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Civil Craft Construction	Submitted Less Bid Security (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s MAS Holding	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Kakar Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co.	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BO'/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 33,841,384.2/-	Rs. 33,841,384.2/-	22.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s W.J Enterprises	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs.36,887,108.77/-	Rs.36,887,108.77/-	14.98% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Abdul Sattar & Sons	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 37,906,688.94/-	Rs. 37,906,688.94/-	12.63% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 37,963,091/-	Rs. 37,963,091/-	12.50% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Arshman & Brothers	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 39,047,751/-	Rs. 39,047,751/-	10.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Asad Construction s & Engineering	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 40,349,342.7/-	Rs. 40,349,342.7/-	07.00% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	Rs. 43,386,390/-	Rs. 40,978,445/-	Rs. 40,978,445/-	05.55% Below 7 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL.
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT HIRA NAND LAL ROAD GADI CHOWK TO LAKH PATI (URDU COLLEGE TO LAKH PATI VIA GADI CHOWK), UC-05 & UC-06, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/02/84
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 28th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 28th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Ten (10)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s SM Associate Company
- 2 M/s DGN
- 3 M/s Global Engineering Links
- 4 M/s Raj Engineering Services
- 5 M/s Zain Enterprises
- 6 M/s BB Enterprises
- 7 M/s NK and Company
- 8 M/s Arshman & Brothers
- 9 M/s Kakar Construction
- 10 M/s IIW Industrial Engineers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s IIW Industrial Engineers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s NK and Company	Submitted Bid Security in shape of insurance guarantee. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Kakar Construction	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Raj Engineering Services	Not Submitted Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Zain Enterprises	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD'/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 38,517,269/-	Rs. 33,086,334/-	Rs. 33,086,334/-	14.10% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s DGN Associates	Rs. 38,517,269/-	Rs. 33,124,851/-	Rs. 33,124,851/-	14.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Global Engineering Links	Rs. 38,517,269/-	Rs. 34,241,852.1/-	Rs. 34,241,852.1/-	11.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Arshman & Brothers	Rs. 38,517,269/-	Rs. 34,665,542.10/-	Rs. 34,665,542.10/-	10.00% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s SM Associate Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Officer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING PE WATER SUPPLY PIPE LINE AND CONSTRUCTION OF METALLAED ROAD AT BADHSHAH ROAD, AZEEM PLAZA CHOWK TO PSO BURNS ROAD, HARI CHAND ROAD, GHAZI NAGAR, UC-02, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/03/85
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Six (06)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s MSM Enterprises
- 2 M/s SM Associate Company
- 3 M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan
- 4 M/s I.K Construction
- 5 M/s MAS Holding
- 6 M/s Blue Rock Associates

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Blue Rock Associates	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s I.K Construction	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s MAS Holdings	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBO'/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s MSM Enterprises	SH:I 9,669,834/- SH:II 13,878,042/- Total 23,547,876/-	Rs. 20,389,953/-	Rs. 20,389,953/-	SH:I 13.00% Below SH:II 14.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s SM Associate Company	SH:I 9,669,834/- SH:II 13,878,042/- Total 23,547,876/-	Rs. 21,996,223/-	Rs. 21,996,223/-	SH:I 06.00% Below SH:II 06.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s MSM Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Officer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF METALLED ROAD FROM PSO PUMP TO MIRZA ADAM KHAN ROAD (HARI CHAND RAI ROAD), UC-02, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/04/86
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th Feburary, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Rehman Construction Co.
2. M/s W.J Enterprises
3. M/s SM Associate Company
4. M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
5. M/s Sitara Construction Company
6. M/s Asad Construction
7. M/s MAS Holding
8. M/s Civil Craft Construction
9. M/s Kakar Construction
10. M/s IIW Industrial Engineers
11. M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan
12. M/s BB Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

2	M/s Civil Craft Construction	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s IIV Industrial Engineers	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Kakar Construction	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s MAS Holding	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Nadir Khan Hashim Khan	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co.	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Rs. 39,785,675/-	Rs. 33,072,000/-	Rs. 33,072,000/-	15.20% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s W.J Enterprises	Rs. 39,785,675/-	Rs. 33,825,780.99/-	Rs. 33,825,780.99/-	14.98% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 39,785,675/-	Rs. 34,613,537/-	Rs. 34,613,537/-	13.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering	Rs. 39,785,675/-	Rs. 35,608,179.125/-	Rs. 35,608,179.125/-	10.50% Below 5 th Lowest.
5	M/s Siatara Construction	Rs. 39,785,675/-	Rs. 38,771,140/-	Rs. 38,771,140/-	02.55% Below 6 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Rehman Construction Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF MILLAT NAGAR RAM SWAMI, UC-04, GAZDARABAD RACHORE LINE UC-05, NANAQWARA UC-06, & OLD TOWN KHARADAR UC-07, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/07/89**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS00000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eight (08)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. DGN
2. Fida Hussain & Co.
3. SNK Builders
4. Gul Rehman & Co
5. S & S Enterprises
6. Prithvi Construction Co.
7. MAS Holding
8. MSM Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s S & S Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Prithvi Construction Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s MSM Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s MAS Holdings	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s DGN Associates	SH:I 15,711,029/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 17,143,229/-	Rs. 15,007,485/-	Rs. 15,007,485/-	SH:I 12.50% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s SNK Builders	SH:I 15,711,029/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 17,143,229/-	Rs. 16,114,634/-	Rs. 16,114,634/-	SH:I 06.00% Below SH:II 06.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Gul Rehman & Sons	SH:I 15,711,029/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 17,143,229/-	Rs. 16,800,363.44/-	Rs. 16,800,363.44/-	SH:I 02.00% Below SH:II 02.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s DGN Associates

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-03, UC-05 AND UC-13, OLD LYARI, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/08/90
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s SM & Co.
2. M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers
3. M/s DGN
4. M/s MIK Construction Company
5. M/s R.M Brothers
6. M/s Fida Hussain & Co.
7. M/s MAS Holding
8. M/s Gul Rehman & Sons
9. M/s SNK Builders
10. M/s Precision Engineering Services
11. M/s Prithvi Construction Co.
12. M/s Mushahid Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s MAS Holdings	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Prithvi Construction Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Gul Rehman & Sons	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s SNK Builders	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s SM & Co.	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 9,686,475/-	Rs. 9,686,475/-	SH:I 16.00% Below SH:II 15.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 9,789,600.68/-	Rs. 9,789,600.68/-	SH:I 14.98% Below SH:II 14.98% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s DGN Associates	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 9,981,498/-	Rs. 9,981,498/-	SH:I 13.50% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s MIK Construction Company	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 10,075,159.5/-	Rs. 10,075,159.5/-	SH:I 12.50% Below SH:II 12.50% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Fida Hussain & Co. Civil	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 10,147,054/-	Rs. 10,147,054/-	SH:I 12.00% Below SH:II 11.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s R.M Brothers	SH:I 10,082,269/- SH:II 1,432,199/- Total 11,514,468/-	Rs. 10,234,020/-	Rs. 10,234,020/-	SH:I 12.70% Below SH:II At Par 6 th Lowest.


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s SM & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL)
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT MESHAM LEA ROAD & JUBILEE CHOWK TO NISHTAR ROAD DOUBLE TRACK UC-04 & UC-05, DISTRICT SOUTH, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/240/01/83
5. PPRA Ref. No (ISE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2025 at 1130 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Precision Engineering Services
2. M/s Arshman & Brothers
3. M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction
4. M/s Deep Ocean Associates
5. M/s IWW Industrial Engineers
6. M/s MAS Holding
7. M/s DGN
8. M/s Asad Construction
9. M/s Rai Engineering Services
10. M/s Afsar Khan & Co.
11. M/s Saiga Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification

Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1 M/s Afsar Khan & Co.	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2 M/s Asad Construction	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/s IWW Industrial Engineers	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s MAS Holding	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Saigal Enterprises	Not Submitted Work Order/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Raj Engineering Services	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s LCN Associates	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction Co	SH:I 31,526,182/- SH:II 4,391,062/- Total 35,917,244/-	Rs.28,733,795.20/-	Rs.28,733,795.20/-	SH:I 20.00% Below SH:II 20.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Deep Ocean Associates	SH:I 31,526,182/- SH:II 4,391,062/- Total 35,917,244/-	Rs. 30,320,281.32/-	Rs. 30,320,281.32/-	SH:I 17.05% Below SH:II 5.05% Below 2 nd Lowest,
3	M/s Arshman & Brothers	SH:I 31,526,182/- SH:II 4,391,062/- Total 35,917,244/-	Rs. 30,529,857.40/-	Rs. 30,529,857.40/-	SH:I 15.00% Below SH:II 5.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Zaviyar Builders & Construction Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Cndal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF AKBAR PLAY GROUND UC-06,BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KEAMARI-KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/07/46
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s MSM Enterprises
2	M/s Z.A Khan & Brothers
3	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) + Not Submitted Bid security Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s MSM Enterprises	Rs. 13,339,082/-	Rs. 12,005,174/-	Rs. 12,005,174/-	1 st Lowest 10% Below SH-I 10% Below SH-II 10% Below SH-III 10% Below
2	M/s Z.A Khan & Brothers		Rs. 12,528,887.6/-	Rs. 12,528,887.6/-	2 nd Lowest 10% Below SH-I 10% Below SH-II 10% Below SH-III At Par

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s MSM Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** **PROVIDING AND LAYING RCC SEWERAGE LINE IN ALTAF NAGAR, UC-01 BALDIA TOWN AND DIFFERENT UC'S OF NA-242 DISTRICT KEMARI-I KARACHI**
4. **Tender Inquiry No.** **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/08/47**
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** TS0000000641E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Three (03)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation.** Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamps have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Chase Construction Company
2	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers
3	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	Only One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD* Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Chase Construction Company	Rs. 24,417,243/-	Rs. 24,417,243/-	Rs. 24,417,243/-	1st Lowest 16.16% Below
2	M/s Malik Zahab & Co.	Rs. 25,899,257/-	Rs. 25,899,257/-	Rs. 25,899,257/-	2nd Lowest 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Chase Construction Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before Issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Officer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 38(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND FIXING OF LIGHTS AND PUMPS IN AL-BAIRUNI SPORTS GROUND IN UC-09 AND AKBAR PLAY GROUND IN UC-08, NA-242 DISTRICT KEMARI KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/09/48
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS000C000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamps have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Al Saeed & Brothers
2	M/s Sheikh Rahoon Khan & Brothers
3	M/s Mahavi Traders Contracting Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Al Saeed & Brothers	No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	No Work Order + Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1.	M/s Mahavir Traders Contracting Company	Rs. 7,979,540/-	Rs. 7,512,737/-	Rs. 7,512,737/-	1 st Lowest 5.35% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Mahavir Traders Contracting Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL

Member

Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL

Member

Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL

Convener

Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a)- Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING WATER SUPPLY LINE IN VARIOUS STREETS OF DIFFERENT UC'S OF BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KARACHI KEAYARI-1, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No: CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/01/40
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: One (01)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Kakar Construction Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Kakar Construction Company	No Similar Work Order + No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) Failed in Eligibility


The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1.		Rs. 26,312,481/-			


Most Advantageous Bidder: _____

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL)
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 38(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING RCC SEWERAGE LINE IN VARIOUS STREETS OF DIFFERENT UC'S OF BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KARACHI KEAMARI-I, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/02/41
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSF): TS0000000641Cs
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: five (05)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s Malik Zorab & Co
2	M/s 3B Enterprises
3	M/s Chase Construction Company
4	M/s Abdul Sattar & Sons
5	M/s Shoikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	Only One Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) Failed in Eligibility
2	M/s BB Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security -- Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD ² / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	Chase Construction Company		Rs. 32,499,538/-	Rs. 32,499,538/-	1 st Lowest 15.50% Below
2	M/s Abdul Sattar & Sons	Rs. 38,460,992/-	Rs. 32,787,995/-	Rs. 32,787,995/-	2 nd Lowest 10% Below
3	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.		Rs. 34,614,893/-	Rs. 34,614,893/-	3 rd Lowest 10% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Chase Construction Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Local Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Officer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN VARIOUS STREETS OF SAEEDABAD NA-242 DISTRICT KARACHI KEAMARI-1, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/03/42
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received' Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s BMA Enterprises
2	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.
3	M/s Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Not Submitted Bid Security on Account of participating Firm / Contractor – Failed in Eligibility
2	Sheikh Raheem Khan & Brothers	Only One Work Order, No Completion Certificate of Similar Nature & Estimated Amount completed in Last Five Years Provided (Required 2) Failed in Eligibility

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1.	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 31,393,945/-	Rs. 28,097,580/-	Rs. 28,097,580/-	1st Lowest 10.5% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s BMA Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.C TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN VARIOUS STREETS OF BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KARACHI KEAMARI-I, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/04/43
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamps have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s BMA Enterprises
2	M/s BB Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1	M/s BB Enterprises	Not Submitted Bid Security +Schedule Of Price Not Filled – (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1.	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 30,079,656/-	Rs. 26,891,212/-	Rs. 26,891,212/-	1st Lowest 10.6% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s BMA Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING C.G TUFF PAVES FLOORING IN VARIOUS STREETS OF MORIRO MEER BAHAR TOWN/BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KARACHI KEAMARI-1, KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/05/44
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TSC000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex - A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s BMA Enterprises
2	M/s Malik Zohab & Co.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
-	-	-

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co		Rs. 26,470,097/-	Rs. 26,470,097/-	1st Lowest 12% Below
1	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 30,079,656/-	Rs. 26,800,973/-	Rs. 26,800,973/-	1st Lowest 10.9% Below

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Malik Zohaib & Co

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF AL-BAIRUNI SPORTS GROUND UC-08,BALDIA TOWN NA-242 DISTRICT KEAMARI-KARACHI
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/242/06/45
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 26th February, 2026 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 26th February, 2026 at 11:30 AM
8. No. of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who had uploaded their bids on E-PADS however, tender fee in shape of PO, Bid Security in shape of CDR and Affidavit on E-Stamp have been received physically in the offices of PIDCL before or on the closing date.

Sr. No	Name of Participant
1	M/s MSM Enterprises
2	M/s Al Saeed & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1		
2		

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s MSM Enterprises		Rs. 13,417,746/-	Rs. 13,417,746/-	1 st Lowest 10% Below
2	M/s Al-Saeed & Brothers	Rs. 14,908,807/-	Rs. 14,908,807/-	Rs. 14,908,807/-	2 nd Lowest At-Par on all SH

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s MSM Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Field Official, PIDCL
Member
Procurement Committee-C

Executive Engineer, PIDCL
Convener
Procurement Committee-C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
 2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
 3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE FROM SUN RISE GROUND TO BARF KHANA WALA ROAD, SECTOR-L-1, UC-4, TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
 4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/09/57**
 5. PPRA Ref No (TSF): **TS0000000641E**
 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
 8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
 10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .
- The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date**
1. M/s H.Arslan & Brothers
 2. M/s Usman Brothers
 3. M/s MIK Construction Company
 4. M/s Kamran Enterprises
 5. M/s King Musakhai
 6. M/s M I Khan & Brothers
 7. M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers
 8. M/s Mir Enterprises
 9. M/s A. Chishty Enterprises
 10. M/s Azad Kashmir Construction
 11. M/s D Babar & Builder

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s At Chishty Enterprises	Attached PEC Certificate validity expired. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Azad Kashmir & Construction	Applied on EPADS only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s D Babar & Builder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)



The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,215,008.0428/-	Rs. 6,215,008/-	20.61% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s MIK Construction Company	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,712,898/-	Rs. Rs. 6,712,898/-	14.25% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Usman Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,693,328.46/-	Rs. 6,693,328.46/-	14.50% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Kamran Enterprise	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,800,576/-	Rs. 6,800,576/-	13.13% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s King Musakhail Enterprises	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Mir Enterprises	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	Rs. 6,889,037.76/-	12.00% Below 6 th Lowest.
7	M/s MH Khan & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 7,005,681.69/-	Rs. 7,005,681.69/-	10.50% Below 7 th Lowest.
8	M/s Bakht Munir & Brothers	Rs. 7,828,452/-	Rs. 7,084,749.1/-	Rs. 7,084,749.1/-	09.50% Below 8 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s H.Arsalan & Brothers

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

 Field Official, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C	 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C
 Executive Engineer, PIDCL CONVENER Procurement Committee - C	

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. **Title of Procurement:** PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING NEAR MAMAR ABAD MASJID SECTOR L-1,UC-4,TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.
4. **Tender Enquiry No.** **CONTRACT PACKAGE:**
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/10/58
5. **PPRA Ref. No (TSE):** **TS0000000641E**
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. **No. of Bids Received:** Ten (10)
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation:** Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .
The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date
 1. M/s Arain Construction
 2. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
 3. M/s Abdul Malik Enterprises
 4. M/s Mir Enterprises
 5. M/s Precision Engineering Services
 6. M/s Al-Wasay Associates
 7. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
 8. M/s Pritvi Construction Co.
 9. M/s Rehman Construction
 10. M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Prithvi Construction Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Arain Construction	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 11,527,002/-	Rs. 11,627,802/-	10.99999167% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 11,888,044.58/-	Rs. 11,999,044.58/-	17.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Abdul Malik Enterprise	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,395,267/-	Rs. 12,395,267/-	15.98% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,536,483/-	Rs. 12,536,483/-	13.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Mir Enterprises	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,680,580.88/-	Rs. 12,680,580.88/-	12.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	A-Wasay Associates	Rs. 14,409,751/-	Rs. 12,682,021/-	Rs. 12,682,021/-	11.91% Below 6 th Lowest.


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Arain Construction

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
 2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
 3. Title of Procurement: **PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE/PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN SECTOR 5-D KANWAL COMFORT, AL-GHAFOOR SECTOR 5-F UC-4, TOWN MANGHOPIR, DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
 4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/01/49**
 5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS00000000641E**
 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February 2026 at 1130 Hrs
 8. No. of Bids Received: Eleven (11)
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2. M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers
3. M/s Al-Wasay Associates
4. M/s SM Associate Company
5. M/s Rehman Construction
6. M/s Arain Construction
7. M/s AK Mandekhal Construction Company
8. M/s Precision Engineering Services
9. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
10. M/s Afsar Khan & Co.
11. M/s DMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Afsar Khan & Co.	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

2	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Rehman Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Arain Construction	Not Submitted Requisite Documents on E-pads. (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s AK Mandokhail Construction Company	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Precision Engineering Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders. Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBQ/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	SH:I 1,784,249/- SH:II 11,998,145/- Total 13,782,394/-	Rs. 11,267,107.1/-	Rs. 11,267,107.1/-	SH:I 18.25% Below SH:II 18.25% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers	SH:I 1,784,249/- SH:II 11,998,145/- Total 13,782,394/-	Rs. 11,717,791.38/-	Rs. 11,717,791.38/-	SH:I 14.98% Below SH:II 14.98% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s SM Associate Company	SH:I 1,784,249/- SH:II 11,998,145/- Total 13,782,394/-	Rs. 12,349,080/-	Rs. 12,349,080/-	SH:I 03.00% Below SH:II 11.50% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Al-Wasay Associates	SH:I 1,784,249/- SH:II 11,998,145/- Total 13,782,394/-	Rs. 12,110,664/-	Rs. 12,110,664/-	SH:I 13.00% Below SH:II 12.00% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Mushahid Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS


12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



Field Official, PIDCL

MEMBER


Procurement Committee - C



Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL

MEMBER

Procurement Committee - C



Executive Engineer, PIDCL

CONVENER

Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD FROM 4K CHOWRANGI TO ROYAL GULF RESIDENCY SECTOR-L-1,TOWN MANGHOPIR,DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/02/50**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Seventeen (17)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering
2. M/s Harish & Co.
3. M/s Rehman Construction
4. M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers
5. M/s BMA Enterprises
6. M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co
7. M/s Polite Pipe Industry
8. M/s SM Associate Company
9. M/s Shamroz Construction Company
10. M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
11. M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers
12. M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
13. M/s BB Enterprises
14. M/s Farjeer Muhammad Mitha Mangro
15. M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
16. M/s RESCOM Construction
17. M/s Malik Zohaib & Co

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Shamroz Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Saeed Rahman Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s BE Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Faqeer Muhammad Mithal Mangrio	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Not provided Bid Security in Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s Rescom Construction	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
11	M/s Mehran Shah Babar & Brothers Co	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 32,260,727.2792/-	Rs. 35,250,727/-	19.88% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Harish & Company	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 36,122,964/-	Rs. 36,122,964/-	18.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s Rehman Construction Company	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 37,356,432/-	Rs. 37,356,432/-	15.20% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 38,325,584/-	Rs. 38,325,584/-	13.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 39,206,632/-	Rs. 39,206,632/-	11.00% Below 5 th Lowest.

6	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 44,052,398/-	Rs. 39,426,894/-	Rs. 39,426,894/-	10.50% Below 6 th Lowest.
---	--------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------


Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD FROM ROYAL GULF RESIDENCY TO MANGHOPIR CHOWKI,SAIMA VILLAS,SECTOR-L-1,TOWN MANGHOPIR,DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/03/51**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th Feburary, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th Feburary, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Sixteen (16)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PAUS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Asac Construction
- 2 M/s Harish & Co.
- 3 M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 4 M/s Renman Construction
- 5 M/s BMA Enterprises
- 6 M/s Polite Pipe Industry
- 7 M/s Shamroz Construction Company
- 8 M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 9 M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers
- 10 M/s NK and Company
- 11 M/s Faqoor Muhammad Mithal Mangrio
- 12 M/s RESCOM Construction
- 13 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 14 M/s BB Enterprises
- 15 M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers
- 16 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Ata Muhammad Khan & Sons	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s NK & Company	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Rehman Construction Co.	Not provided E-Stamp In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Fazeer Muhammad Mitha Mangrio	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s Rescom Construction	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed In Eligibility)
8	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co.	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed In Eligibility)
9	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
10	M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
11	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
12	M/s Shamroz Construction Company	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD's Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 35,255,132.5188/-	Rs. 35,255,132/-	19.97% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Harish & Company	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 38,563,433/-	Rs. 38,563,488/-	17.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 38,755,138/-	Rs. 38,766,108/-	12.00% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	Rs. 44,052,396/-	Rs. 40,462,126/-	Rs. 40,462,126/-	08.15% Below 4 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL),
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: **CONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION OF METALLED ROAD FROM KANEEZ FATIMA GATE NO.1 TO GATE NO.2,SECTOR-3,TOWN MANGHOPIR,DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **CONTRACT PACKAGE: PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25-26/244/04/52**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000641E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th Feburary, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th Feburary, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Twelve (12)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as **Annex – A** for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/s Harish & Co.
- 2 M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers
- 3 M/s BMA Enterprises
- 4 M/s Asad Construction
- 5 M/s Arshman & Brothers
- 6 M/s Polite Pipe Industry
- 7 M/s Malik Zohaib & Co
- 8 M/s Saeed Rehman Builders
- 9 M/s Atta Muhammad Khan & Sons
- 10 M/s BB Enterprises
- 11 M/s MF Jumani Enterprises
- 12 M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s Malik Zohaib & Co	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Saeed Rehman Builders	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s Alta Muhammad Khan & Sons	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s BB Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Aziz ur Rehman & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s Noor Muhammad & Brothers	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/S BD*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s MF Jumani Enterprises	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 35,301,702.47/-	Rs. 35,301,720/-	19.99% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Harish & Company	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 36,183,811/-	Rs. 36,183,811/-	18.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s BMA Enterprises	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 39,537,432/-	Rs. 39,537,432/-	10.40% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Asad Constructions & Engineering	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 40,155,205.09/-	Rs. 40,155,205.09/-	09.00% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Arshman & Brothers	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 40,596,471.08/-	Rs. 40,596,471.08/-	08.00% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s Polite Pipe Industry	Rs. 44,126,599/-	Rs. 42,162,965/-	Rs. 42,162,965/-	04.45% Below 6 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s MF Jumani Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.


Field Official, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - C


Executive Engineer, PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - C

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING CC TUFF PAVER FLOORING IN KARIM DINO GOTH FROM DIL PASAND MILK SHOP TO IMAM BARGAH INTIZAR MEHDI, SECTOR-7, UC-9, TOWN MANGHOPIR DISTRICT WEST-I NA-244 KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No: CONTRACT PACKAGE:
PIDCL/SINDEH/SAP/25-26/244/05/53
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Fifteen (15)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation Criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex – A for reference
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

1. M/s Mushahid Enterprises
2. M/s Usman Brothers
3. M/s New Taha Enterprises
4. M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers
5. M/s S. Raza
6. M/s Al-Wasay Associates
7. M/s Usaam Construction Co.
8. M/s Gul Rahman & Sons
9. M/s SM Associate Company
10. M/s Shah Enterprises
11. M/s Rajput Traders
12. M/s Dad Mir & Sons
13. M/s RA Traders
14. M/s O Babar & Builder
15. M/s BMA Enterprises

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for disqualification
1	M/s D Bahar & Builder	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/s Rajput Traders	Not Submitted Work Orders/Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
3	M/s New Talha Enterprises	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
4	M/s Gul Rahman & Sons	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
5	M/s Dadi Mir & Sons	Not Submitted Work Completion as requisite. (Failed in Eligibility)
6	M/s RA Traders & Services	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
7	M/s BMA Enterprises	Applied on EPADs only but not provided Bid Security & Tender Fees In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
8	M/s Shah Enterprises	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)
9	M/s Usman Construction Company	Not provided Bid Security In Hard Form (Failed in Eligibility)



The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD? Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Mushahid Enterprises	Rs. 9,764,493/-	Rs. 8,104,529.19/-	Rs. 8,104,529/-	17.00% Below 1 st Lowest.
2	M/s Usman Brothers	Rs. 9,764,493/-	Rs. 8,153,351.65/-	Rs. 8,153,351.65/-	16.50% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/s S. Raza & Company	Rs. 9,764,493/-	Rs. 8,300,795/-	Rs. 8,300,795/-	14.99% Below 3 rd Lowest.
4	M/s Kamal Khan & Brothers	Rs. 9,764,493/-	Rs. 8,300,795.49/-	Rs. 8,300,795.49/-	14.99% Below 4 th Lowest.
5	M/s Al-Wnsay Associates	Rs. 9,754,493/-	Rs. 8,349,618/-	Rs. 8,349,618/-	14.99% Below 5 th Lowest.
6	M/s SM Associate Company	Rs. 9,754,493/-	Rs. 8,592,754/-	Rs. 8,592,754/-	12.00% Below 6 th Lowest.

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Mushahid Enterprises

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

 Field Official, PIDGL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C	 Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDGL MEMBER Procurement Committee - C
 Executive Engineer, PIDGL CONVENER Procurement Committee - C	

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING AND LAYING SEWERAGE LINE AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF UC-35, UC-39, UC-42B, BLOCK-1&2, TALIB COLONY, UC-43, C & C-1 AREA, LIAQUATABAD TOWN, DISTRICT CENTRAL, KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/07/81
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS0000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' Six (06)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES
- 2 M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL
- 3 M/S BADRU DIN & BROTHERS
- 4 M/S MIK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 5 M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO
- 6 M/S ASGHAR KHAN TAREEN

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S BADRU DIN & BROTHERS	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
2	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
3	M/S ASGHAR KHAN TAREEN.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided & Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL	Rs. 24,596,755/-	Rs. 19,677,404/-	Rs. 19,677,404/-	20.00% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S PRECISION ENGINEERING SERVICES	Rs. 24,596,755/-	Rs. 20,907,242/-	Rs. 20,907,242/-	15.00% Below 2 nd Lowest
3	M/S MIK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Rs. 24,596,755/-	Rs. 23,243,933/-	Rs. 23,243,933/-	5.50% Below 3 rd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S SADARUDDIN MANDUKHAIL.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D**



**Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D**



**Manager (Hyd) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelope under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement
3. Title of Procurement: PROVIDING & LAYING CC TUFF PAVER AT DIFFERENT STREETS OF PAPOSH NAGAR UC-01, AURANGABAD AND UC-02 OF NAZIMABAD TOWN DISTRICT CENTRAL KARACHI.
4. Tender Inquiry No. CONTRACT PACKAGE PIDCL/SINDH/SAP/25 26/249/01/75
5. PPRA Ref.No (TSE): TS00000000641E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27th February, 2026 at 11:00 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th February, 2026 at 11:30 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Ten (10)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. The evaluation criterion is attached as Annex - A for reference.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bidder downloaded from E-PADS.

The bidders who submitted sealed bids on the closing date

- 1 M/S JAWAD & CO ENGINEERING WORKS
- 2 M/S MSM ENTERPRISES
- 3 M/S BMA ENTERPRISES
- 4 M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS
- 5 M/S SADDAM ULLAH ENTERPRISES
- 6 M/S NOOR BUILDERS & DEVELOPRS
- 7 M/S SAIGAL ENTERPRISES
- 8 M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO
- 9 M/S MUHIB CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
- 10 M/S ABDUL MALIK ENTERPRISES.

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for disqualification:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for Disqualification
1	M/S MSM ENTERPRISES.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)
2	M/S NOOR BUILDERS & DEVELOPRS.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility)

3	M/S SAIGAL ENTERPRISES.	Two Work Orders & Completion Certificates having same nature, equal or more cost completed are not provided. (Failed in Eligibility) .
4	M/S MALIK ZOHAIB & CO.	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).
5	M/S SADDAM ULLAH ENTERPRISES	Bid Security not provided in Hard Form. (Failed in Eligibility).

The Qualified bidders: Meeting all the Technical Criteria requirements (Qualified)

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD* / Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/S JAWAD & CO ENGINEERING WORKS	Rs.20,981,881/-	Rs. 17,656,253/-	Rs. 17,656,253/-	15.85% Below 1 st Lowest
2	M/S BMA ENTERPRISES	Rs.20,981,881/-	Rs.18,044,417/-	Rs. 18,044,417/-	14.00% Below 2 nd Lowest.
3	M/S ABDUL MALIK ENTERPRISES	Rs.20,981,881/-	Rs. 18,149,327/-	Rs. 18,149,327/-	13.50% Below 3 rd Lowest
4	M/S ARSHMAN & BROTHERS	Rs.20,981,881/-	Rs. 18,254,236/-	Rs. 18,254,236/-	13.00% Below 4 th Lowest
5	M/S MUHIB CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Rs.20,981,881/-	Rs. 19,513,149/-	Rs. 19,513,149/-	7.00% Below 5 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/S JAWAD & CO ENGINEERING WORKS

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractorss on E-PADS

12. However, before Issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

Assistant Executive Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Project Engineer, PIDCL
MEMBER
Procurement Committee - D

Manager (Hyd) , PIDCL
CONVENER
Procurement Committee - D