


TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Quaid-i-Azam Mazar Management Board (QMMB), Karachi
2. Method of Procurement: Single Stage — Two Envelop
3. Title of Procurement: "Rights for Collection of fee from the Visitors of Aiwan-e-Nawadarat -e-Quaid-i-Azam (Quaid's Mausoleum)"
4. Tender Inquiry No.: Nil
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): F-26011678835
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 18th February, 2026 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 18th February, 2026 1130 Hrs.
8. No of Bids Received: Six (06)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: As stated in tender documents
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As under
11. Minimum Marks Required: 70 out of 100 (As Stated in Bidding Documents)

S No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD"/Policy / Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
01	M/s MR Enterprises & Brothers	88/100	Qualified - Achieved the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
02	M/s Taykal Engineering Services	57 / 100	Not Qualified – Did not achieve the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
03	M/s. Sarfraz Enterprises	50/100	Not Qualified – Did not achieve the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
04	M/s. Affaf Enterprises	87/100	Qualified - Achieved the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
05	Mr. M.A & Co.	62/100	Not Qualified – Did not achieve the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
06	M/s. Abdul Ghani Khetran Govt. Contractor	0/100	Disqualified -Non-Compliant to mandatory requirements and due to following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applied using ID of M/s Abdul Ghani Khetran Government Contractor; however, documents uploaded were of the firm named M/s. Malik Salahud-din & Co, which is already blacklisted by PPRA. • Non-Compliant to mandatory requirement to provide the required information on prescribed proforma of Technical Proposal • Concealment of Facts (Did not submitted mandatory "No-Litigation Certificate"). Whereas, the firm (M/s. Malik Salahud-din & Co) is currently in litigation with M/s. PPRA. • Submission of Fake Documents (The QMMB's Performance Certificate submitted by the firm, M/s. Malik Salahud-din & Co is fake)


 (Maj. Anwar Hussain)
 Rep. of HQ-05 Corps
 Member,
 Income Generating
 Contracts Committee


 (Fahad Mushtaq Shaikh)
 Rep. of AG Sindh
 Member,
 Income Generating
 Contracts Committee

 Assistant Accounts Officer
 U/o The Accountant General
 Sindh Karachi


 (Abdul Aleem Shaikh)
 Resident Engineer, QMMB
 Member,
 Income Generating
 Contracts Committee

Technical Evaluation Criteria of "Rights for Collection fee from the Visitors of Alwan-e-Nawadarat-e-Quaid-I-Azam (Quaid's Mausoleum)"

Technical Proposals Evaluation Criteria

Form. No.	Technical Proposal	Marks	Name of Companies which submitted Their Document					
			Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm
			M/s. MR Enterprises & Brothers	M/s. Taykal Engineering Services	M/s. Sarfaraz Enterprises	M/s. Affaf Enterprises	M/s. MA & Co	M/s. Abdul Ghani Khetrani
I.	GENERAL INFORMATION	10	8	6	4	8	4	0
2	Private Ltd	10						
	Partnership	8	8			8		
	Proprietorship	6		6				
	Individual	4			4	0	4	0
II.	FINANCIAL CAPACITY	25	25	13	6	24	18	0
3	Bank Account Certificate	2	2	2	1	1	2	0
4	ATL (Active Tax Payer's List) Status	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
5	Income Tax paid during last 03 Years.							
	Upto 1 Million	2	0					
	1.1 Million to 2 Million	4	0	4				
	2.1 Million to 3 Million	6	0					
	Above 3 Million	8	8			8	8	0
7	ASTL (Active Sindh Sales Tax Payer's List) Status	2	2	2		2	2	0
8	Sindh Sales Tax Paid during last 03 years.							
	Upto 1 Million	2					1	0
	1.1 Million to 2 Million	4						
	2.1 Million to 3 Million	6						
	Above 3 Million	8	8			8		
9	Registration with Govt. Dept.	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
III.	EXPERIENCE	25	23	11	18	23	19	0
1	Registration							
	General Registration with PEC for the year 2025-26 in C6 / O6 or above category.	5	5	5		5	5	0
	Registration with PEC in Category (06-11) or above	2						
2	Previous experience of providing services at public sector organizations.	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
	Establishment (on time basis)							
3	01 Year to 05 Year	1						
	6 Year to 10 Year	2					2	0
	11 Year & above	3	3	3	3	3		
4	Amount of Work Done / Fee Collection in Past 03 Year							
	10 to 50 Million	2	0					
	50.1 to 75 Million	4					3	
5	Amount of Work Currently in Hand							
	01 to 03 Million	2						
	3.1 to 04 Million	4						
	4.1 Million and above.	6	6		6	6	6	0
IV.	PERFORMANCE	25	17	17	17	17	10	0
1	Satisfactory Performance, Completion and No Litigation certificate issued by the Client (04 Point for Each certificate)	20	12	12	12	12	8	0
	Proposed Ticketing System for ANQA by Bidder							
3	Manual	2					2	0
	Computerized Ticketing System	5	5	5	5	5		0
V.	DETAIL OF PERMANENT STAFF	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
1	Numbers							
	Up to 05	1						
	06 to 10	2	0					
	11 & above	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
	Staff Experience							
2	Up to 05 years	1						
	06 to 10 years	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
VI.	QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL & SAFETY	10	10	5	0	10	6	0
1	Submission of Quality Manual regarding visitor's movement	5	5	2		5	2	0
	Submission of safety measure manual at work place	2	2	2		2	1	0
	Submission of reporting hierarchy for the project	3	3	1		3	3	0

FM

15

Technical Evaluation Criteria of "Rights for Collection fee from the Visitors of Aiwan-e-Nawadarat-e-Quaid-i-Azam (Quaid's Mausoleum)"

Technical Proposals Evaluation Criteria

S.No.	Technical Proposal	Marks	Name of Companies which submitted Their Document					
			Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm	Name of Firm
			M/s. M.R Enterprises & Brothers	M/s. Taykal Engineering Services	M/s. Sarfaraz Enterprises	M/s. Affaf Enterprises	M/s. M.A. & Co	M/s. Abdul Ghani Khetran
Total Marks	100	88	57	50	87	62	0	

(Major Anwar Hussain)
Rep. of HQ 5-Corps

(Abdul Aleem Shaikh)
Resident Engineer
QMMB

(Fahad Mushtaq Shaikh)
Rep. of A.G Sindh

ABDUL ALEEM SHAIKH
Resident Engineer / Secretary
Quaid-i-Azam Mazar Management Board
National Heritage & Culture Division
Government of Pakistan

Fahad Mushtaq Shaikh
Assistant Accounts Officer
Office The Accountant General
Sindh Karachi

REPORT

Subject: Recommendation of Grievance Redressal Committee Regarding the Bid of “Rights for Collection of Fee from the Visitors of Aiwan-e-Nawadrat-e-Quaid-i-Azam (Quaid’s Museum), Karachi.

1. Background:

In accordance with PPRA Regulations the bidding process for above contract was initiated through EPADs. The opening of technical bid of the tender was 18th February 2026. Following are the technical bid evaluation results in respect of the subject tender:

S No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD/Policy / Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
01	M/s. Sarfraz Enterprises	50/100	Not Qualified – Did not achieved the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
02	Mr. M.A & Co.	62/100	Not Qualified – Did not achieved the minimum required technical qualification marks of 70.
03	M/s. Abdul Ghani Khetran Govt. Contractor	0/100	<p>Disqualified -Non-Compliant to mandatory requirements and due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applied using ID of M/s. Abdul Ghani Khetran Government Contractor; however, documents uploaded were of the firm named M/s. Malik Salahuddin & Co, which is already blacklisted by PPRA. Non-Compliant to mandatory requirement to provide the required information on prescribed proforma of Technical Proposal Concealment of Facts (Did not submitted mandatory “No-Litigation Certificate). Whereas, the firm (M/s. Malik Salah-ud-din & Co. is currently in litigation with M/s. PPRA. Submission of Fake Documents. (The QMMB’s Performance Certificate submitted by the firm M/s. Malik Salah-ud-din & Co. is fake).

2. Grievance by the Contractor:

- i. **M/s. Malik Salah-ud-Din and Company** lodged grievances over the decision of the procurement committee on EPADs dated: 04th March 2026. The grievances of the bidder and the response of GRC is as follows:

S#	Grievance	Decision	Reason
1.	I respectfully submit that my firm’s technical proposal has been disqualified, which I believe is incorrect. All my documents have been properly attested and submitted according to the rules and regulations of PPRA. I request the committee to kindly	The GR Committee of QMMB has thoroughly examined the technical proposal documents submitted by M/s. Malik Salah-ud-Din and Company and has decided that the grievances of the bidder are “ Non-Maintainable ”.	<p>Violation of Rule – 18 of PPRA Regulations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has submitted one affidavit and two certificates that his firm is not in litigation with any department or PPRA (Annex - “I”). However, as per PPRA letter (Annex - “II”) bidder is

	<p>review my submission before opening the financial proposal. Please see my attached files for verification. I am confident that my proposal complies with all requirements, and I seek your intervention to resolve this issue fairly.</p>		<p>currently in litigation with M/s. PPRA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bidder has submitted performance certificate issued by this office is fake which is preclude as fraudulent practice (Annex - "III"). • The status of blacklisting M/s. Malik Salah-ud-i-Din and Company on official website of M/s. PPRA is "Active" till to date i.e. 05th March 2026. Hence, no bid can be considered by any blacklisted bidders in accordance with PPRA Regulations.
--	--	--	---


- ii. **M/s. M.A & Co.** lodged grievances over the decision of the procurement committee on EPADs dated: 04th March 2026. The grievances of the bidder and the response of GRC is as follows:

S#	Grievance	Decision	Reason
1.	<p>We respectfully submit that our firm has fulfilled all the required criteria and secured 75 marks, whereas the minimum qualifying marks were 70, firstly, M.A & Co. participated with the firm name and sole proprietorship, for which 6 marks were applicable, but only 4 marks were awarded.</p>	<p>The GR Committee of QMMB has thoroughly examined the technical proposal documents submitted by M/s. M.A & Co. and has decided that the grievance of the bidder is "Non-Maintainable".</p>	<p>Violation of Rule – 48(2) of PPRA Regulations and Violation of clause – "a" of Letter of Bid (Technical Proposal):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bidder could only raise objection upon the bidding documents prior to the submission deadline, after the bid is submitted no objection could be raised upon the bidding documents. • In the covering letter of technical proposal the bidder has shown full consent over the bidding documents and showed no-reservations, hence, now he cannot raise any objection.
2.	<p>Secondly, our work in hand exceeds 937 million, which according to the criteria qualifies for 6 marks, however only 3 marks were given. In comparison, for Shoes & Parking and Aiwan-e-Nawadrat Projects, the committee correctly awarded 6 marks for the</p>	<p>The GR Committee of QMMB has thoroughly examined the technical proposal documents submitted by M/s. M.A & Co. and has decided that the grievance of the</p>	<p>The procurement committee has given full 06 marks for the work in hand, whereas, 03 marks have been awarded for past three-year works. The GR Committee has thoroughly examined the profile of M/s. M.A & Co., in his profile uploaded on PPRA, all the work orders attached, hence GR Committee decided to</p>

	same firm and the same supporting documents, which indicates inconsistency in evaluation,	bidder is “Maintainable” .	award 01 additional mark to the bidder.
3.	Quality Assurance, Quality Control, and Safety procedures, which qualify for 10 marks, but only 6 marks were awarded,	The GR Committee of QMMB has thoroughly examined the technical proposal documents submitted by M/s. M.A & Co. and has decided that the grievance of the bidder is “Maintainable” .	The GR Committee has considered the point of view of the bidder and decided to give 03 additional marks in the category of quality assurance manual and similarly to award 01 additional mark in the category of safety manuals.
4.	the evaluation shows 2 marks for manual ticketing, whereas our proposal clearly included a computerized ticketing system, which should be awarded 5 marks.	The GR Committee of QMMB has thoroughly examined the technical proposal documents submitted by M/s. M.A & Co. and has decided that the grievance of the bidder is “Maintainable” .	The GR Committee has considered the point of view of the bidder and decided to give 05 marks in the category of computerized ticketing system instead of 02 marks of manual ticketing.

3. **Recommendations:**

- The Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) of QMMB after thorough examination of rules and technical proposal documents has decided to increase 08 marks to the M/s. M.A & Co., hence making the total marks of the firm to be seventy (70). Hence, the M/s. M.A & Co. is considered as technically qualified for the bidding process.
- The Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) of QMMB after thorough examination of rules and technical proposal documents has decided that the grievances made by M/s. Malik Salah-ud-Din & Company are **“NON-MAINTAINABLE”**. Hence, the decision of the Procurement Committee is sustained.


(Amanullah)
Member (GRC)


(Mazhar Khan)
Member (GRC)


(Arif Ali Ansari)
Convener (GRC)



MALIK SALAH-UD-DIN & COMPANY

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

Ref: _____

Date: 17.02.2016

PERFORMANCE & LITIGATION CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that M/s Malik Salahuddin & Company, a registered government contractor based in Islamabad, Pakistan, has successfully executed various projects including Parking Management Services, General Order Supply, and Construction Works across Pakistan.

The performance of the company and its deployed labour staff has been found satisfactory, professional, and in accordance with contractual obligations. The company maintains proper supervision, discipline, and compliance with all applicable government rules and regulations.


It is further certified that:

1. The company has performed its duties efficiently and responsibly.
2. The labour staff deployed on projects have shown good conduct and professionalism.
3. The company is not involved in any litigation, arbitration, blacklisting, or legal dispute with any government department or institution as of the date of issuance of this certificate.
4. There is no pending court case or recovery against the company regarding performance of assigned works.

List of Deployed Staff (Labour)

Name	CNIC NO.	Designation
Moin uddin	54303-9976197-9	Supervisor
Ikhtelid	34304-7772177-1	Incharge
M. Arfan	51202-5152-156	Manager
Zohaib	5203-5152157-1	Accountant
Aziz-ur-Rehman	35304-3435351-1	Worker
Fida Husein	54303-9394198-9	Worker
Shams	18302-1213113-1	Worker
Nazakat	35304-448118-7	Office boy
Avif Iqbal	44301-1176178-7	Sec. guard

This certificate is issued upon request of the company for official record and submission purposes.



Main Office Roznama Mashirq Evening Special Office No. 4, Arbab Plaza, M.A. Jinnah Road, Quetta.
Plot No. 829, C2, Office Flat No. 202, PECHS Society, Near Allama Iqbal Road, District East, Karachi.
OFFICE NO 4, 2ND FLOOR, MANDRA TOWER, IJP ROAD NEAR PSO PUMP, PANDORA,
Rawalpindi

Cell No. 0333-7813212 - 0300-0270217 - 0321-8004006

M/S MALIK SALAH-UD-DIN & COMPANY
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

M/S

Date: 16-02-2026

NON-BLACKLISTING / NO LITIGATION CERTIFICATE

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN


This is to certify that M/S Malik Salahuddin & Company, a Government Contractor working all over Pakistan, having its registered office at Islamabad, hereby solemnly declares that:

1. The firm has never been blacklisted or debarred by any Government Department, Semi-Government Organization, Autonomous Body, or Private Organization in Pakistan.
2. There is no pending litigation, arbitration case, inquiry, or investigation against the a
3. The firm has always executed its projects in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contracts and maintained professional standards.
4. In case any information is found incorrect or misleading, the firm shall be responsible for the consequences under the relevant laws.

This certificate is being issued for submission to concerned authorities as and when required.


Sincerely,

**M/S MALIK SALAH-UD-DIN
& COMPANY**



Main Office Roznama Mashirq Evening Special Office No. 4, Arbab Plaza, M.A. Jinnah Road, Quetta.
Plot No. 829, C/2, Office Flat No. 202, PECHS Society, Near Allama Iqbal Road, District East, Karachi.
OFFICE NO 4, 2ND FLOOR, MANDRA TOWER, IJP ROAD NEAR PSO PUMP, PANDORA,
Rawalpindi

Cell No. 0333-7813212 - 0300-0270217 - 0321-8004008



Government of Pakistan
Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Islamabad.

<><>

Subject: REQUEST TO REMOVE M/S MALIK SALAH-UD-DIN FROM THE LIST OF ACTIVE BLACKLISTED FIRMS ON PPRA'S WEBSITE.

Reference your letter dated 04.06.2024 on the subject cited above.


2. It is stated that before proceeding further in the subject matter you are required to provide a certified copy of Court order dated 17.05.2024 attached in the above mentioned letter.

3. This information is called under Section 16 of the PPRA Ordinance, 2002.


(Abdul Nabi)
Director (Legal)
14.06.2024

Malik Salah-ud-din & Company
Office #4, Arbab Plaza,
M.A Jinnah Road,
Quetta

014





Ref No. Q.246(FP)/127

Dated: 15th Oct, 2024

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

It is certified that M/a. Malik Salah-ud-Din & Company are working in the organization since July 2019 to July 2024 & October 2024 to till date, Parking Fee Collector Contractor. The security arranged by contractor for vehicles / car for the visitors at Bagh-e-Quaid-i-Azam is satisfactory.


Resident Engineer /
Secretary QMMB



100

Page 213
2025