

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)


1. Name of Procuring Agency Power Planning and Monitoring Company (Pvt). Limited
2. Method of Procurement Single Stage two envelope
3. Title of Procurement Procurement Of 30 Nos. Laptops (High-End Business Series)
4. Tender Inquiry No PPMC/2026/04
5. PPRA Ref No. (TSE) TS0000006991E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing 10.06.2026 11:00 A.M
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening 10.06.2026 11:30 A.M
8. No. of Bids Received Twelve
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation As per Bidding documents
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation As per Evaluation criteria outlined in the bidding documents.

Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
AurSoft (Pvt.) Ltd.	—	Declared technically responsive as per the criteria outlined in the bidding documents.
Galaxy Traders	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the bidder failed to provide the "Registration/Incorporation/Business Certificate and number of business years in Pakistan". Furthermore, the submitted Authorization Certificate could not be verified, and therefore did not fulfill the mandatory requirement under Clause 7.3 (Sr.1) of the bidding documents.
TexiTech	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the offered form factor and build quality did not meet the required technical specifications specified in the Bidding Documents.
Necsol Private Limited	—	Declared technically responsive as per the criteria outlined in the bidding documents.
Megaplust Pakistan	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the bid validity offered was only 15 days, which did not comply with the Bidder's Evaluation Criteria under Clause 7.1 (Sr. No. ix) of the Bidding Documents.
Awais International	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the bidder failed to provide Registration/Incorporation/Business Certificate and number of business years in Pakistan, thereby failing to meet the mandatory requirements under Clause 7.3 (Sr. No. 1) of the Bidding Documents.
RS Technologies Pvt Ltd	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the submitted Authorization Certificate could not be verified, thereby failing to meet the mandatory requirements under Clause 7.3 (Sr. No. 1) of the Bidding Documents.

Paragon Office Solution	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the offered form factor and build quality did not meet the required technical specifications specified in the Bidding Documents.
Ati Technologies	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the offered processor did not meet the required technical specifications specified in the Bidding Documents.
Sky Kraft Private Limited	—	Declared technically responsive as per the criteria outlined in the bidding documents.
Asif Computers	—	Declared technically responsive as per the criteria outlined in the bidding documents.
POTOHAR Enterprises	—	Declared technically non-responsive as the bidder failed to provide the "Registration/Incorporation/Business Certificate and number of business years in Pakistan". Furthermore, the submitted Authorization Certificate could not be verified, and therefore did not fulfill the mandatory requirement under Clause 7.3 (Sr.1) of the bidding documents.


 (Haris Farooq)
 Assistant Specialist PPMC


 (Kashif Sardar)
 Team Lead (IT) PPMC


 (M. Munawar Sharif)
 Dy. Director (Services) PPMC