

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency **National Grid Company. Ltd (NGC)**
2. Method of Procurement **National Competitive Bidding (NCB) & Single Stage Two Envelope (SSTE)**
3. Title of Procurement **PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND ALLIED ACTIVITIES INCLUDING VERIFICATION OF CONTRACTOR'S INVOICES AND TESTING & COMMISSIONING OF 220kV DOUBLE CIRCUIT TWIN BUNDLE TRANSMISSION LINE FROM MOHMAND DAM HPP TO EXTENSION 220kV NOWSHERA INDUSTRIAL GRID STATION (APPROX 67KM).**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **RFP TL-02-2026**
5. PPRA Ref No. **TS0000009723E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing **27/08/2026 at 11:00 A.M**
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening **27/08/2026 at 11:30 A.M.**
8. No of Bids Received **04 (Four) Nos.**
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation **Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-II "Instructions to Consultants" of Bidding Documents**

Name of the Bidders	Technical Mark (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Result (Responsive/non-responsive)	Remarks
M/s BARQAAB Consulting Services PVT (Ltd)	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive
M/s National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt (Ltd)	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive
M/s OMS-En Masse (JV)	NIL	<u>Reasons of Non-responsiveness</u> M/s. OMS EnMasse JV has stated in Form TECH-1 that its Proposal shall remain valid up to 10th December 2026. However, as stipulated under Clause 12.1 of the Section-III Proposal Data Sheet "Proposals shall be valid for a period of 120 days after last date of submission". Since the Technical Proposals were opened on 27th August 2026, the required Proposal validity shall be up to 25th December 2026 (120 days after submission deadline). Thus, the validity period stated by M/s. OMS EnMasse JV is short by fifteen (15) days and does not comply with the requirement of Clause 12.1 of the Section- III of the RFP Document. Since Proposal validity constitutes an important aspect of the RFP requirement, the Proposal is considered non-responsive as per Clause 21.1 of the ITC, which stipulates that "a Proposal shall be rejected if it does not respond to important aspects of the RFP"	Non-Responsive
M/s FHC Consulting Engineers- Power Aim Engineering	NIL	<u>Reasons of Non-responsiveness</u> The firm/JV has mentioned in Form TECH-1 that its Proposal shall remain valid up to 07th December 2026. However, as	Non-Responsive

Services Pvt (Ltd)		stipulated under Clause 12.1 of the Section-III Proposal Data Sheet <i>"Proposals shall be valid for a period of 120 days after last date of submission"</i>. Since the Technical Proposals were opened on 27th August 2026, the required Proposal validity shall be up to 25th December 2026 (120 days after submission deadline). Thus, the validity period stated by M/s. FHC Consulting Engineers-Power Aim Engineering Services JV is short by eighteen (18) days and does not comply with the requirement of Clause 12.1 of the Section III of the RFP Document. Since Proposal validity constitutes an important aspect of the RFP requirement, the Proposal is considered non-responsive as per Clause 21.1 of the ITC, which stipulates <i>that "a Proposal shall be rejected if it does not respond to important aspects of the RFP."</i>	
-----------------------	--	---	--

Note: The evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.

1. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share

Nil

Signature_____

Official Stamp:DG (Procurement) NGC