

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------|---|-------|--------|----|----|
| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency | <u>National Grid Company of Pakistan Limited (NGC)</u> | | | | |
| 2. | Method of Procurement | <u>National Competitive Bidding (NCB) & Single Stage Two Envelope (SSTE)</u> | | | | |
| 3. | Title of Procurement | <u>Construction and Completion of Civil Works, Erection, Stringing, Testing & Commissioning of 220kV D/C Transmission Line from Mohmand Dam HPP to 500/220/132kV Sheikh Muhammadi S/S.</u> | | | | |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No. | <u>TLC-29-26</u> | | | | |
| 5. | PPRA Ref No. | <u>TS0000008681E</u> | | | | |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid Closing | <u>04/09/2026 at 11:00 A.M.</u> | | | | |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid Opening | <u>04/09/2026 at 11:30 A.M.</u> | | | | |
| 8. | No. of Bids Received | <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Lot-I</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Lot-II</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">02</td> <td style="text-align: center;">03</td> </tr> </table> | Lot-I | Lot-II | 02 | 03 |
| Lot-I | Lot-II | | | | | |
| 02 | 03 | | | | | |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation | <u>Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-I “Instructions to Bidders” of Bidding Documents</u> | | | | |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation | | | | | |

Lot-I: Mohmand Dam HPP to Jamrud (Approx 58km)

Name of the Bidders	Technical Mark (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Result (Responsive/Non-Responsive)	Remarks
M/s. Potential Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive
M/s. Alamdar Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd.	NIL	The reasons of non-responsiveness are as under: i. The Bidder did not meet the eligibility requirements stipulated under IB 3.1(a) (iii), Section-II of the Bidding Document. ii. The required minimum average annual turnover for best three out of last five years is PKR 624 Million as per clause IB 3.1(b) (3)(ii), Section-II, of the bidding document. The bidder had Average Annual Turnover of PKR 588 million as	Non-Responsive

		per its financial statements though UDIN of these financial statements could not be verified as well. In view of the foregoing discussion, the bidder is determined as ineligible in accordance with Sub-Clauses 3.1(a)(iii) and 3.1(b)(5) of Section-II of the Bidding Document, due to its blacklisting status and debarment by ADB and hence non-responsive.	
--	--	---	--

Lot-II: Jamrud to 500/220/132kV Sheikh Muhammadi S/S (Approx 42km)

Name of the Bidders	Technical Mark (If Applicable)	Technical Evaluation Result (Responsive/Non-Responsive)	Remarks
M/s. Potential Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive
M/s. AL-Hussain Traders Contractors	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive
M/s. National Heritage Constructors (NHC) – MK Engineers & Constructors (Pvt.) Ltd. (MHEC) (JV)	NIL	Responsive and recommended for price/financial bid opening	Responsive

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share

Nil

Signature_____

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NGC

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.