

FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT3rd Floor, Islamabad High Court Building, Constitution Avenue, G-5, Islamabad**FINAL EVALUATION REPORT***(As per Rule 35 of the Public Procurement Rules, 2004)*

1. Name of Procuring Agency	Federal Shariat Court, Islamabad
2. Method of Procurement	Prequalification of Firms/Vendors for Establishment of Open Framework Agreements <i>(for a period of three years from the date of signing of the Contracts/Agreements)</i> , processed through the Manual Procedure, under Rules 15, 16 & 16A of the Public Procurement Rules, 2004
3. Title of Procurement	Establishment of Open Framework Agreements <i>(for a period of three years from the date of signing of the Contracts/Agreements)</i> for Repair, Maintenance and Common-Use Procurement Services (Categories I-VI), for the Principal Seat, Islamabad and Bench Registries at Lahore, Karachi, Peshawar and Quetta
4. Tender Inquiry No.	FSC-02/2026-27
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	TS0000010130E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing	10.08.2026 11:00 A.M.
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening	10.08.2026, 11:30 A.M.
8. No. of Bids Received	15 (Fifteen)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Two-stage evaluation as per Chapter-7 of the Prequalification Document: Stage I - Mandatory Eligibility (Pass/Fail) under Chapter 5; Stage II - Technical Evaluation (100 marks) under Chapter 7.2, comprising Relevant Experience (30), Technical Capability (25), Qualified Technical Staff (20), Financial Capacity (15) and Past Performance & Client References (10). Minimum qualifying score: 70 marks. No financial/price evaluation at prequalification stage — rates to be fixed under individual Call-off Orders during the currency of the Framework Agreement.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation

As this is a prequalification exercise for Open Framework Agreements for a period of three years from the date of signing of the Contracts/Agreements, no Financial/Evaluated Cost is applicable at this stage, as clarified under Item No. 9 above. Accordingly, the evaluation and the resulting acceptance or rejection under Rule 35 are based solely on the Stage-II Technical Score. All fifteen (15) participating bidders successfully cleared Stage-I, comprising the Mandatory Eligibility requirements prescribed under Chapter 5. Accordingly, the firms securing 70 marks or above and recorded as "Accepted, Most Advantageous Bidder" have been declared prequalified on the basis of the prescribed evaluation criteria, as detailed below

Category I — Repair, Maintenance and Allied Services relating to Information Technology Equipment

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. Aero Support Traders	55	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
2	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	51	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. Genius Traders	79	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
4	M/S. ZS Traders	78	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
5	M/S. Arba Enterprises	40	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
6	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	45	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
7	M/S. Sherazi Trading	95	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)


HUMMAYUN FAIZ RASHEEDI
 Assistant Registrar
 Federal Shariat Court
 Islamabad

8	M/S. Nayatel	94	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
9	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	41	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
10	M/S. Azoure Engineering	96	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
11	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category I): M/S. Azoure Engineering (96); M/S. Sherazi Trading (95); M/S. Nayatel (94); M/S. Genius Traders (79); M/S. ZS Traders (78); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (73).

Category II — Repair and Maintenance of Plant, Machinery and Electrical Equipment

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. ZS Traders	83	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
2	M/S. Genius Traders	63	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. Arba Enterprises	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
4	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	74	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
5	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	70	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
6	M/S. Sherazi Trading	97	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
7	M/S. Nayatel	98	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
8	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	63	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
9	M/S. Azoure Engineering	95	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
10	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category II): M/S. Nayatel (98); M/S. Sherazi Trading (97); M/S. Azoure Engineering (95); M/S. ZS Traders (83); M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi (74); M/S. Arba Enterprises (73); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (73), M/S. Multi Solution Provider (70).

Category III — Repair, Maintenance and Fabrication of Furniture and Fixtures

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
2	M/S. Genius Traders	66	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. ZS Traders	78	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
4	M/S. Arba Enterprises	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
5	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	75	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
6	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	74	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
7	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	76	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category III): M/S. ZS Traders (78); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (76); M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi (75); M/S. Uraiba Enterprises (74); M/S. Multi Solution Provider (73); M/S. Arba Enterprises (73).


HUMMAYUN FAIZ RASHEED
 Assistant Registrar
 Federal Shariat Court
 Islamabad

Category IV — Civil, Electrical and General Repair and Maintenance Works

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	74	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
2	M/S. Genius Traders	66	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. ZS Traders	79	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
4	M/S. Arba Enterprises	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
5	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	75	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
6	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	76	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
7	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	72	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category IV): M/S. ZS Traders (79); M/S. Uraiba Enterprises (76); M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi (75); M/S. Multi Solution Provider (74); M/S. Arba Enterprises (73); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (72).

Category V — Repair and Maintenance of Official Vehicles

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. Aero Support Traders	76	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
2	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	51	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. Toyota GT Motor	95	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
4	M/S. Genius Traders	42	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
5	M/S. ZS Traders	44	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
6	M/S. Arba Enterprises	71	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
7	M/S. New Nomi Auto	85	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
8	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	42	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
9	M/S. Japan Tyre	84	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
10	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	15	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
11	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	78	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category V): M/S. Toyota GT Motor (95); M/S. New Nomi Auto (85); M/S. Japan Tyre (84); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (78); M/S. Aero Support Traders (76); M/S. Arba Enterprises (71).

Category VI — Supply of Common Use Office Items and Store Branch Requirements

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Marks Obtained (Technical, Max 100)	Result — Basis for Acceptance/Rejection (Rule 35)
1	M/S. Aero Support Traders	70	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
2	M/S. Multi Solution Provider	66	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
3	M/S. Genius Traders	79	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
4	M/S. ZS Traders	78	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)
5	M/S. Arba Enterprises	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥ 70 marks)


HUMAYUN FAIZ RASHEED
 Assistant Registrar
 Federal Shariat Court
 Islamabad

6	M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi	73	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
9	M/S. Uraiba Enterprises	58	Rejected — below 70-mark qualifying score
11	M/S. Atique Traders	85	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)
12	M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders	76	Accepted — Most Advantageous Bidder (≥70 marks)

Most Advantageous Bidders (Category VI): M/S. Atique Traders (85); M/S. Genius Traders (79); M/S. ZS Traders (78); M/S. WYS Traders JV Satti Traders (76); M/S. Arba Enterprises (73); M/S. SK Traders, Rawalpindi (73); M/S. Aero Support Traders (70).

11. Any Other Additional/Supporting Information

- (a) The evaluation recorded above was carried out and finalized by the Procurement Evaluation Committee in its meeting held on 13 & 31.08.2026 and 1st September, 2026, the Minutes whereof form part of the procurement record of this Tender.
- (b) As the procurement is for establishment of Open Framework Agreements (*for a period of three years from the date of signing of the Contracts/Agreements*) under Rule-16A of the Public Procurement Rules, 2004, more than one bidder stands declared as a Most Advantageous Bidder(Pre-Qualified) in each category (as tabulated above), each to be admitted to the panel for that category; the Framework Agreement does not guarantee any minimum quantity or value of procurement to any panel member, and procurement shall be made strictly on an as-and-when-required basis through individual Call-off Orders as per relevant rules especially Rule-42 of Public Procurement Rules, 2004.
- (c) The bid security of the Most Advantageous Bidders shall be retained for the entire three (03) year (*from the date of signing of the Contracts/Agreements*) validity of the Open Framework Agreements. The bid security of bidders declared Rejected in all categories shall be released upon receipt of a written request on the firm's letterhead, in terms of Chapter-9 of the Prequalification Document.
- (d) All bidders shall be intimated of the result of evaluation, and the Most Advantageous/Prequalified Bidders shall be called upon to execute the Draft Open Framework Agreement (Chapter-13 of the Prequalification Document) for their respective category/categories, subject to approval of the Competent Authority.


Assistant Registrar (Project)
Official Stamp
HUMAYUN FARID RASHEED
Assistant Registrar
Federal Shariat Court
Islamabad