

NIT No.		(SAP) 2025-26.	
No of Schemes in NIT :6 Schemes.			
PPRA No. TS0000000695E			
Sr. No.	Name of Scheme	BER	Page No
1.	Const: of DRINKING WATER SUPPLY SCHEME IN NA-45 Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/01/01.	Attached	2
2.	Const: of Street Pavement with Drainage/ Culvert/ Shingle Road in Tehsil D.I.Khan Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/02/02.	Attached	5
3.	Const: of Street Pavement with drainage/ Culvert Shingle Road in Tehsil Daraban Kalan Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/03/03.	Attached	8
4.	Const: of Street Pavement with drainage/ Culvert Shingle Road in Tehsil Prova Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/04/04.	Attached	11
5.	Const: of Solarization of Tube Wells in Tehsil Darazinda, Prova, Darban, Kalan & D.I.Khan Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/05/05.	Attached	14
6.	Const: of Solarization of Mosque/Home in Tehsil Darazinda, Prova, Darban, Kalan & D.I. KhanNA-45 Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/06/06.	Attached	17

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: DRINKING WATER SUPPLY SCHEME IN NA-45
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/01/01**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 13
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	8	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company
2	M/s Al Hussain	9	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	10	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	11	M/s Al Basit Construction Co
5	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	12	M/s Farooq Shah
6	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	13	M/s BKB Construction Company
7	M/s M. Kashif Adil		

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	• Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.

2.	M/s Al Hussain	• Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	• Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	• Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
5	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons	• Provided Adl. Bid security is insufficient. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided. Rate analysis not provided.
6	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	• Quoted bid price is corrected as per 16.4 (b) Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
7	M/s Farooq Shah	• No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.
8	M/s BKB Construction Company	• Bid Security & Adl. Bid Security not provided on EPADS.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	36,458,475/-	36,093,889	36,093,889	SH: I-IV (-1.0%) 2 nd Lowest
2	M/s Zia Brothers Co.		36,458,475	36,458,475	SH: I-IV (At Par) 4 th Lowest
3	M/s M. Kashif Adil		36,276,183	36,276,183	SH: I-IV (-0.5%) 3 rd Lowest
4	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company		36,458,475	36,458,475	SH: I-IV (At Par) 4 th Lowest
5	M/s Al Basit Construction Co		33,869,923	33,869,923	SH: I-IV (-7.10%) 1 st Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Al Basit Construction Co

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: Street Pavement with Drainage/ Culvert/ Shingle Road in Tehsil D.I.Khan
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/02/02**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 15
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	9	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons
2	M/s Al Hussain	10	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	11	M/s Engr. Shakirullah & Brothers
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	12	M/s Farooq Shah
5	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	13	M/s BKB Construction Company
6	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	14	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company
7	M/s M. Kashif Adil	15	M/s Jizcon Intl.
8	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company		

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Pak Royal Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided.

2.	M/s Samar Engineering Services	Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
3	M/s M. Kashif Adil	Adl Bid Security not provided. Rate Analysis not Provided.
4	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons	Provided Adl. Bid security is insufficient. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided. Rate analysis not provided.
5	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
6	M/s Farooq Shah	No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.
7	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company	Affidavit for documents to be true and correct not provided. Rate analysis not provided. Work completion certificate not provided. Technical staff/ Engineers bio data not provided.
8	M/s Jizcon Intl.	Work completion certificate not provided.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	29,018,615/-	28,946,286	28,946,286	SH: I-II (At Par) SH-III (-1.437%) (4 th Lowest -0.249% combined rate)
2	M/s Al Hussain		29,018,615	29,018,615	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
3	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co		28,728,427	28,728,427	SH: I-III (-1.00%) 3 rd Lowest
4	M/s Zia Brothers Co.		29,018,615	29,018,615	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest

5	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company	28,148,056	28,148,056	SH: I-IV (-3.00%) 1 st Lowest
6	M/s Engr. Shakirullah & Brothers	29,018,615	29,018,615	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
7	M/s BKB Construction Company	28,438,240	28,438,240	SH: I-IV (-2.00%) 2 nd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: Street Pavement with drainage/ Culvert Shingle Road in Tehsil Daraban Kalan
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/03/03**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 13
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	8	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
2	M/s Al Hussain	9	M/s Al Basit Construction Co
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	10	M/s Farooq Shah
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	11	M/s BKB Construction Company
5	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	12	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company
6	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	13	M/s M. Kashif Adil
7	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company		

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Pak Royal Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided. 8

2.	M/s Samar Engineering Services	Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
3	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
4	M/s Farooq Shah	No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.
5	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company	Affidavit for documents to be true and correct not provided. Rate analysis not provided. Work completion certificate not provided. Technical staff/ Engineers bio data not provided.
6	M/s M. Kashif Adil	Adl Bid Security not provided. Rate Analysis not Provided.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	38,688,617/-	38,592,037	38,592,037	SH: I-II (At Par) SH-III (-1.148%) (4 th Lowest -0.249% combined rate)
2	M/s Al Hussain		38,688,617	38,688,617	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
3	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co		38,301,734	38,301,734	SH: I-III (-1.00%) 3 rd Lowest
4	M/s Zia Brothers Co.		38,688,617	38,688,617	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
5	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company		37,527,958	37,527,958	SH: I-III (-3.00%) 1 st Lowest
6	M/s Al Basit Construction Co		38,688,617	38,688,617	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest

7	M/s BKB Construction Company		37,914,848	37,914,848	SH: I-III (-2.00%) 2 nd Lowest
---	------------------------------	--	------------	------------	--

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: Street Pavement with drainage/ Culvert Shingle Road in Tehsil Prova
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/04/04**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 14
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Engr. Shakirullah & Brothers	8	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company
2	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	9	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
3	M/s Al Hussain	10	M/s BKB Construction Company
4	M/s Pak Royal Company	11	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company
5	M/s Samar Engineering Services	12	M/s M. Kashif Adil
6	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	13	M/s Farooq Shah
7	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	14	M/s New Haryana Construction Company

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Pak Royal Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided.
2.	M/s Samar Engineering Services	Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
3	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
4	M/s Taru Khel Construction Company	Affidavit for documents to be true and correct not provided. Rate analysis not provided. Work completion certificate not provided. Technical staff/ Engineers bio data not provided.
5	M/s M. Kashif Adil	Adl Bid Security not provided. Rate Analysis not Provided.
6	M/s Farooq Shah	No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Engr. Shakirullah & Brothers	28,966,218/-	26,909,617	26,909,617	SH: I-III (-7.10%) 1 st Lowest
2	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company		28,894,775	28,894,775	SH: I-II (-0.25%) SH-III: (-1.1750) Combined (-0.246%) 4 th Lowest
3	M/s Al Hussain		28,966,218	28,966,218	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
4	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co		28,676,555	28,676,555	SH: I-III (-1.00%) 3 rd Lowest

5	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	28,966,218	28,966,218	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest
6	M/s Shaukat Ali Construction Company	28,097,231	28,097,231	SH: I-III (-3.00%) 2 nd Lowest
7	M/s BKB Construction Company	28,097,231	28,097,231	SH: I-III (-3.00%) 2 nd Lowest
8	M/s New Haryana Construction Company	28,966,218	28,966,218	SH: I-III (At Par) 5 th Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Engr. Shakirullah & Brothers

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.

**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: Solarization Of Tube Wells in Tehsil Darazinda, Prova, Darban, Kalan & D.I.Khan
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/05/05**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 13
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Sahibzada Younas Construction Company	8	M/s M. Kashif Adil
2	M/s Solution Engineering	9	M/s Al Hussain
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	10	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	11	M/s BKB Construction Company
5	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	12	M/s Farooq Shah
6	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	13	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company
7	M/s Al Basit Construction Co		

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Solution Engineering	Adl. Bid security not provided.
2.	M/s Pak Royal Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided.

3	M/s Samar Engineering Services	Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
4	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	Adl Bid Security is insufficient.
5	M/s M. Kashif Adil	Adl Bid Security not provided. Rate Analysis not Provided.
6	M/s Al Hussain	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
7	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
8	M/s Farooq Shah	No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.
9	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

Sr. No	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Sahibzada Younas Construction Company	26,341,420	26,209,793	26,209,793	SH-I: -0.5% 3 rd Lowest
2	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co		23,443,864	23,443,864	SH-I: -11.00% 1 st Lowest
3	M/s Al Basit Construction Co		26,341,420	26,341,420	SH-I: At Par 4 th Lowest
4	M/s BKB Construction Company		25,946,298	25,946,298	SH-I: -1.5% 2 nd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Khan Bahadur & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Pakistan Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (PIDCL).
Ministry of Housing & Works, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: Solarization Of Mosque/Home in Tehsil Darazinda, Prova, Darban, Kalan & D.I.Khan NA-45
4. Tender Inquiry No. **Contract Package PIDCL/KPK/SAP/25-26/45/06/06**
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS0000000695E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 03-March-2026 at 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 03-March-2026 at 1130 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' 14
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents.

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Bids downloaded from E-PADS. .

The bidders who submitted sealed bids solely on E-PADS along with original tender fee, original Bid Security and original E-stamp Affidavit on the closing date.

1	M/s Sahibzada Younas Construction Company	8	M/s M. Kashif Adil
2	M/s Solution Engineering	9	M/s Al Hussain
3	M/s Pak Royal Company	10	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers
4	M/s Samar Engineering Services	11	M/s BKB Construction Company
5	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co	12	M/s Farooq Shah
6	M/s Zia Brothers Co.	13	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company
7	M/s Al Basit Construction Co	14	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons

The technically non-responsive bids and reasons for failure are:

	Name of bidder	Reasons for failure to qualify the Technical Proposal
1.	M/s Solution Engineering	Adl. Bid security not provided.
2.	M/s Pak Royal Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided.

3	M/s Samar Engineering Services	Single E-Stamp Rs.150 provided for Affidavit. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount not provided. Last 3 years tax return not provided.
4	M/s M. Kashif Adil	Adl Bid Security not provided. Rate Analysis not Provided.
5	M/s Al Hussain	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
6	M/s Gul Baz Khan Sherani & Brothers	Adl. Bid security not provided. Rate Analysis not provided. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
7	M/s Farooq Shah	No document except bid security is uploaded on EPADS.
8	M/s Z.H.A Construction Company	Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided.
9	M/s Abdullah Gul Sherani & Sons	Provided Adl. Bid security is insufficient. Similar work experience in last 5 years with equal or more amount, not provided. Rate analysis not provided.

The qualified bidders meeting all the criteria requirement are:

	Name of Bidders	Estimated Cost (PKR)	Quoted Cost (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Sahibzada Younas Construction Company	20,352,069/-	20,250,309	20,250,309	SH-I: -0.5% 3 rd Lowest
2	M/s Khan Bahadur & Co		18,113,341	18,113,341	SH-I: -11.00% 1 st Lowest
3	M/s Zia Brothers Co.		20,352,069	20,352,069	SH-I: At Par 4 th Lowest
4	M/s Al Basit Construction Co		20,352,069	20,352,069	SH-I: At Par 4 th Lowest
5	M/s BKB Construction Company		20,148,548.31	20,148,548.31	SH-I: -1.0% 2 nd Lowest

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Khan Bahadur & Co.

11. The above Evaluation of received Bids has been carried out exclusively on the details submitted by Contractors on E-PADS and CDR's/E-Stamps submitted physically in office.

12. However, before issuance of Letter of Intent / Letter of Acceptance to the Most Advantageous Bidder, the Codal Formalities as mentioned in the Administrative Approvals must be completed.



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**



**Convenor/Member Procurement Committee
PIDCL Islamabad.**